

## Prépublications du Département de Mathématiques

Université de La Rochelle Avenue Michel Crépeau 17042 La Rochelle Cedex 1 http://www.univ-lr.fr/labo/lmca

## Nonexistence of Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping

Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar Avril 2005

Classification 35L20, 35L70, 26A33, 34A12.

Mots clés: Fractional damping, non-existence, nonlinear hyperbolic equations.

## Nonexistence of Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping

### Mokhtar Kirane and Nasser-eddine Tatar

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Pôle Sciences et Technologie, Université de La Rochelle, Avenue M. Crépeau, 17000 La Rochelle, France

King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Department of Mathematical Sciences P.O. Box 1446, Dhahran, 31261, Saudi Arabia

**ABSTRACT** We consider the nonlinear hyperbolic equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + D_+^{\alpha} u = h(t, x) \left| u \right|^p$$

posed in  $Q := (0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N$ , where  $D_+^{\alpha}u$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is a time fractional derivative, with given initial position and velocity  $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$  and  $u_t(0,x) = u_1(x)$ . We find the Fujita's exponent which separates in terms of  $p, \alpha$  and N, the case of global existence from the one of nonexistence of global solutions. Then, we establish sufficient conditions on  $u_1(x)$  and h(x,t) assuring non-existence of local solutions.

**Keywords** Fractional damping, non-existence, nonlinear hyperbolic equations

Classification: 35L20, 35L70, 26A33, 34A12

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + D_+^{\alpha} u = h(t, x) |u|^p$$
 (WE)

posed in  $Q := (0, +\infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N$ , subject to the initial conditions

$$u(0,x) = u_0(x)$$
 and  $u(0,x) = u_1(x)$  (1)

where  $\Delta = \partial_1^2 + ... \partial_N^2$  is the Laplacian in the space variable x and  $D_+^{\alpha}$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is the time fractional derivative defined by

$$(D_+^{\alpha} f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{f(\sigma)}{(t-\sigma)^{\alpha}} d\sigma.$$

This fractional derivative is said to be *left-handed*. The *right-handed* fractional derivative is defined by

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{(\sigma-t)^{\alpha}} d\sigma$$

(see [12] and [13] for more on fractional integrals and derivatives.)

In Equation (WE), the term  $D_+^{\alpha}$  represents an attenuation of fractional type (see [14], [15], [16] [8]). Before we discuss our results in detail, let us briefly dwell on some literature related to the equation (WE).

In the case of purely fractional derivative time modelling, Seredynska and Hanyga [14] considered the nonlinear equation

$$D^2 u + \gamma D^{1+\eta} u + F(u) = 0$$

where  $D^{1+\eta}$  with  $0 < \eta < 1$  represents the  $(1+\eta)$ -order fractional derivative in the sense of Caputo [12], and  $\gamma$  is the thermo-viscous coefficient. This equation serves as a model for the anomalous attenuation. Our equation can be viewed as an infinite dimensional version of the equation above.

In one of our previous papers, some conditions were obtained for the occurrence of blowing-up of solutions to (WE), with  $h(t,x) \equiv 1$ , on a bounded domain. More precisely, in [8], it is proved that the solution is unbounded and grows up exponentially in the  $L^p$ -norm for sufficiently large initial data. This paper has been followed by two others by Tatar [15] and [16]. In [16], the set of initial data has been considerably enlarged using a different argument based on a new Lyapunov type functional. Then a blow up in finite time has been proved using an argument similar to the one used in [8] but combined with a technique due to Georgiev and Todorova [4] together with a suitably chosen functional.

Here, in the first part of the paper, we relax completely the conditions on the data and prove a result of different flavor in the sense that a critical exponent is found which separates the case of blow-up from the case of global existence; the decisive point is then made according to the size of data in some functional space. The method of proof we follow here has been already used in [7] (see also [6]) to not only give a short proof of an important result in [17] but also to answer positively an open question raised there concerning the equation (WE) with a linear damping of the form  $u_t$  (rather than a time fractional damping). This method of proof appeared first in the book of J. L. Lions [9] for the heat equation with polynomial nonlinearity and then in the paper of Baras and Pierre [2] (see also [3]). It remained dormant till the series of very interesting papers by Qi S. Zhang [18], [19] followed by a sizeable number of articles by Mitidieri, Pohozaev, Kurta, Tesei, Laptev, Veron, Guedda and Kirane collected in [10]. The method is rather simple and consists in a judicious choice of the test function in the weak formulation of Equation (WE) accompanied with a scaled variables argument.

The theorems we will present here are concerned with the non-existence of solutions. In case of the existence of a local solution then our results would mean that this solution must blow up in finite.

In the second part of the paper, we establish a sufficient condition on h(t, x) and the initial data assuring non-existence of solutions for any time. Necessary conditions are also established for the existence of global solutions. To this end, we will adapt a method used in Baras and Kersner [1], originally established for parabolic problems. In [1], the following problem (PE) has been considered

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = h(x)u^p, \ x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \ t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

It was shown that no local weak nonnegative solution to (PE) exists if the initial data satisfies

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u_0^{p-1} h(x) = +\infty,$$

and any possible local weak nonnegative solution blows-up at a finite time if

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u_0^{p-1} h(x) |x|^2 = +\infty.$$

Our plan for the rest of the paper is as follows: In the next section we prove a first result on non-existence of solutions after some time  $T_*$ . Section 3 contains the statements and proofs of other results on non-existence of local and global solutions for the same problem but with a space dependent potential.

## 2 Non-existence of global solutions

The function h(t,x) is assumed to be nonnegative and satisfying  $h(tR^2,xR) = R^{\rho}h(t,x)$  for some  $\rho$  positive and R large. Let us make clear first what we mean by a solution to problem (WE).  $Q_T$  here will denote the set  $Q_T := (0,T) \times \mathbf{R}^N$  and  $L^p_{loc}(Q_T,hdtdx)$  will denote the space of all functions  $v: \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^N \to \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\int |v|^p h(t,x) dtdx < \infty$  for any compact K in  $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^N$ .

**Definition 1.** The function  $u \in L^1_{loc}(Q_T)$  is a local weak solution of the problem (WE) subject to the initial data (1) on (0,T)  $(0 < T < +\infty)$  if  $u \in L^p_{loc}(Q_T, h dt dx)$  and is such that

$$\int_{Q} h(t,x) |u|^{p} \varphi + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} u_{1}(x) \varphi_{0}(x) = \int_{Q} \left( u \varphi_{tt} - u \Delta \varphi + u D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi \right)$$
 (2)

holds for any  $\varphi \in C_0^2(Q_T)$ ,  $\varphi \geq 0$  and satisfying  $\varphi = 0$ ,  $\varphi_t = 0$  at t = T and  $\varphi_t = 0$  at t = 0. Here we have set  $\varphi(0, x) =: \varphi_0(x)$ .

Remark 1. We have the formula (integration by parts) (See [[13], p.46])

$$\int_a^b f(x)(D_+^{\alpha}g)(x)dx = \int_a^b g(x)(D_-^{\alpha}f)(x)dx.$$

In our case we extend u by 0 for  $t \leq 0$ .

Our first result reads

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_1(x) > 0$ , and 1 . Then, problem (WE)-(1) does not admit global non trivial solutions in time.

*Proof.* The proof is by contradiction. So, we assume that the solution is global. Let  $\varphi_0 \in C_0^2(\mathbf{R}), \ \varphi_0 \ge 0, \ \varphi_0$  decreasing and such that

$$\varphi_0(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad |y| \le 1, \\ 0 & if \quad |y| \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

We choose

$$\varphi(t, x) = \varphi_0^{\lambda}(\xi),$$

where  $\xi = R^{-4}(t^2 + |x|^4)$ , R is a positive real number,  $\lambda$  is any real greater than p, and such that

$$\int_{supp\Delta\varphi} \left|\Delta\varphi\right|^{q} (h\varphi)^{1-q} + \int_{supp\varphi_{tt}} \left|\varphi_{tt}\right|^{q} (h\varphi)^{1-q} + \int_{suppD_{-}^{\alpha}\varphi} \left|D_{-}^{\alpha}\varphi\right|^{q} (h\varphi)^{1-q} < \infty$$

with p+q=pq. Here and in the whole paper supp will stand for support. We clearly have  $\varphi_t(0,x)=0$ . This function  $\varphi$  will be taken as a test function in (2). First, let us write

$$\int_{Q} u\varphi_{tt} = \int_{Q} u(h\varphi)^{1/p} (h\varphi)^{-1/p} \varphi_{tt}.$$

As  $\varphi$  is of compact support, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{Q} u\varphi_{tt} \leq \left(\int_{supp\varphi} |u|^{p} h\varphi\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{supp\varphi_{tt}} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\varphi_{tt}|^{q}\right)^{1/q}.$$
 (3)

We can appeal to the  $\varepsilon$ -Young inequality to get

$$\int_{Q} u\varphi_{tt} \le \varepsilon \int_{supp\varphi} |u|^{p} h\varphi + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{supp\varphi_{tt}} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\varphi_{tt}|^{q}$$
(4)

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Likewise, we have the estimates

$$\int_{Q} u\Delta\varphi \le \left(\int_{supp\varphi} |u|^{p} h\varphi\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{supp\Delta\varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\Delta\varphi|^{q}\right)^{1/q} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\int_{O} u\Delta\varphi \le \varepsilon \int_{supp\varphi} |u|^{p} h\varphi + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{supp\Delta\varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\Delta\varphi|^{q}.$$
 (6)

The same is true for the third term in the right hand side of (2)

$$\int_{Q} u D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi \leq \left( \int_{supp\varphi} |u|^{p} h \varphi \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{supp D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} \left| D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi \right|^{q} \right)^{1/q}$$
 (7)

and

$$\int_{O} u D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi \leq \varepsilon \int_{supp\varphi} |u|^{p} h\varphi + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{supp D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi|^{q}.$$
 (8)

Summing up, (4), (6) and (8), with  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we infer that

$$\int_{Q} |u|^{p} h\varphi + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} u_{1}(x)\varphi_{0}(x) \leq C \int_{supp\varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} \left( |\varphi_{tt}|^{q} + |\Delta\varphi|^{q} + |D_{-}^{\alpha}\varphi|^{q} \right)$$
(9)

for some positive constant C. From now on the constant C will denote a generic positive constant. At this stage, we introduce the scaled variables

$$t = \tau R^2, \ x = yR$$

and set  $\Omega:=\{(\tau,y)\in\mathbf{R}^+\times\mathbf{R}^N;\tau^2+|y|^4\leq 2\}$ . Therefore, writing  $\varphi(t,x)=\varphi(\tau R^2,yR)=:\chi(\tau,y)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\varphi_{tt}|^q = R^{2+N-4q-q\rho/p} \int_{\Omega} h^{-q/p} |\chi_{\tau\tau}|^q \chi^{-q/p},$$

$$\int_{supp\Delta\varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\Delta\varphi|^q = R^{2+N-4q-q\rho/p} \int_{\Omega} h^{-q/p} |\Delta\chi|^q \chi^{-q/p},$$

and

$$\int_{supp D^{\alpha} \varphi} (h\varphi)^{-q/p} \left| D_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi \right|^{q} = R^{2+N-2\alpha q - q\rho/p} \int_{\Omega} h^{-q/p} \left| D_{-}^{\alpha} \chi \right|^{q} \chi^{-q/p}.$$

So, we have

$$\int |u|^p h\varphi + \int u_1(x)\varphi_0(x) \le C \left\{ R^{2+N-4q-q\rho/p} + R^{2+N-2\alpha q-q\rho/p} \right\}.$$
 (10)

Observe that we have chosen  $\varphi_0$  in such a way to have  $|\chi_{\tau\tau}|^q$  and  $|\Delta\chi|^q$  at the same magnitude in R. Now we impose the condition

$$1$$

In the estimate (10), we have to distinguish two cases:

Either  $p < p_{\alpha}$ :

In this case, passing to the limit as  $R \to \infty$  in (10) we obtain

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \left\{ \int |u|^p \, h\varphi + \int u_1(x)\varphi_0(x) \right\} = \int h \, |u|^p + \int u_1(x) \le 0.$$

This contradicts the requirement  $\int u_1(x) > 0$ .

Or  $p = p_{\alpha}$ :

In this case, we obtain from (10)

$$\int h |u|^p \varphi + \int u_1 \varphi_0 \le C$$

and therefore

$$\int h |u|^p \varphi \le C.$$

Letting  $R \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$\int h \left| u \right|^p \le C.$$

So

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{C_R} |u|^p \, h\varphi = 0,\tag{11}$$

where  $C_R := \{(t, x) : R^4 \le t^2 + |x|^4 \le 2R^4 \}$ .

Using (2) and the estimates (3), (5) and (7), we may write

$$\int |u|^p h\varphi + \int u_1(x)\varphi_0(x) \leq \left(\int_{C_R} |u|^p h\varphi\right)^{1/p} \left\{ \left(\int (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\varphi_{tt}|^q\right)^{1/q} + \left(\int (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |\Delta\varphi|^q\right)^{1/q} + \left(\int (h\varphi)^{-q/p} |D_-^{\alpha}\varphi|^q\right)^{1/q} \right\}.$$
(12)

Passing to the limit as  $R \to \infty$  in (12) and taking into account (11), we obtain

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \left\{ \int |u|^p \, h\varphi + \int u_1(x)\varphi_0(x) \right\} = 0.$$

This is again in contradiction with  $\int u_1 > 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$ 

**Remark 2.** Observe that in the limiting case when  $\alpha \to 1$ , the critical exponent is  $p_{cwd} = 1 + \frac{2+\rho}{N}$ . This is in agreement with the one found in [17] and [7].

**Remark 3.** Notice that the previous argument works perfectly as well for the case  $1 \le \alpha < 2$ . In this case we use the definitions (see [14, p.37])

$$\left(D_{+}^{\alpha}f\right)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f(\sigma)}{(t-\sigma)^{\alpha-n+1}} d\sigma, \ n = [\alpha] + 1$$

and

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{n} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{f(\sigma)}{(\sigma-t)^{\alpha-n+1}} d\sigma, \ n = [\alpha] + 1.$$

# 3 Necessary conditions for local and global solutions

In this section we assume that  $\inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+} h(t, x) > 0$ .

**Remark 4.** > From the formula (see [[13], p. 36])

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left[ \frac{f(T)}{(T-t)^{\alpha}} - \int_{t}^{T} \frac{f'(\sigma)}{(\sigma-t)^{\alpha}} d\sigma \right],$$

(for absolutely continuous functions) it is clear that if f(T) = 0, then the right-handed fractional derivative reduces to

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{t}^{T} \frac{f'(\sigma)}{(\sigma-t)^{\alpha}} d\sigma.$$

This is to be compared with the fractional derivative in the sense of Caputo.

Let  $\gamma = q(q-1)$ ,  $L = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{2q} 2^{2q-l} C_l^{2q} M_l (6q-l-\alpha+1) \right]^q$  with  $C_l^{2q}$  the usual binomial coefficients and  $M_l = \sum_{n=0}^l C_n^l \frac{\Gamma(2q+1)\Gamma(n-\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(2q-\alpha+n+2)}$ .

Our first results in this section are the following

**Theorem 2.** Let u be a local solution to (WE)-(1) where  $T < +\infty$  and p > 1. Then, there exist constants  $\gamma$  and L such that

$$\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} u_1(x) h^{p/(p-1)}(t, x) \le \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{4}{p}\right)^{q/p} \left(\frac{\gamma^q}{T^{2q-1}} + LT^{(1-\alpha)q}\right).$$

*Proof.* By the definition of a weak solution, for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(Q_T)$ ,  $\varphi \geq 0$  such that

$$supp\varphi \subset \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^N : |x| > R_0 > 0 \right\},\,$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} u_{1}(x)\varphi_{0}(x) + \int_{Q_{T}} h(t,x) |u|^{p} \varphi$$

$$\leq \int_{Q_{T}} \left( |u| |\varphi_{tt}| + |u| |\Delta\varphi| + |u| |D_{-}^{\alpha}\varphi| \right). \tag{13}$$

Using the  $\varepsilon$ -Young inequality

$$ab \le \varepsilon a^p + C_\varepsilon b^q$$

(with  $C_{\varepsilon} = 1/q(p\varepsilon)^{q/p}$ ) we can estimate all three terms in the right hand side of (13). Indeed, writing  $|u| |\varphi_{tt}| = |u| (\varphi h)^{1/p} (\varphi h)^{-1/p} |\varphi_{tt}|$ , we find for  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\int_{Q_T} |u| |\varphi_{tt}| \le \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} |u|^p h\varphi + C_\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} |\varphi_{tt}|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p}, \tag{14}$$

where q is always the conjugate exponent of p. Likewise, we obtain for the other two terms

$$\int_{Q_T} |u| |\Delta \varphi| \le \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} |u|^p h\varphi + C_\varepsilon \int_{Q_T} |\Delta \varphi|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p}$$
(15)

and

$$\int_{Q_T} |u| \left| D_-^{\alpha} \varphi \right| \le \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} |u|^p h\varphi + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{Q_T} \left| D_-^{\alpha} \varphi \right|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p}.$$
(16)

Taking  $\varepsilon = 1/4$ , we deduce from (14)-(16) and (13) that

$$J := \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u_1(x)\varphi_0(x) \le C_{1/4} \int_{Q_T} \left( |\varphi_{tt}|^q + |\Delta\varphi|^q + \left| D_-^{\alpha}\varphi \right|^q \right) (\varphi h)^{-q/p}, \tag{17}$$

with  $C_{1/4} = \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{4}{p} \right)^{q/p}$ . At this stage, we make the choice

$$\varphi(t,x) := \Phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{T^2}\right)^{2q}$$

where  $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(Q_T)$ ,  $\Phi \geq 0$ ,  $supp\Phi \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : 1 < |x| < 2\}$  and  $|\Delta\Phi| \leq k\Phi$ . It is clear that the requirements previously set for  $\varphi$  are satisfied  $(\varphi(T,x) \equiv \varphi_t(T,x) \equiv \varphi_t(0,x) \equiv 0)$ . Next, we estimate the three terms in the right hand side of (17). Let us make the change of variables  $t = \tau T$  and put  $\gamma = q(q-1)$ . Using this and the assumptions on  $\varphi$ , we find,

$$\int_{Q_T} |\varphi_{tt}|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p} \le \gamma^q T^{1-2q} \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} \Phi, \tag{18}$$

and

$$\int_{Q_T} |\Delta \varphi|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p} \le k^q R^{-2q} T \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} \Phi.$$
(19)

For the third term, it is easy to see that

$$\int_{Q_T} \left| D_-^{\alpha} \varphi \right|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p} = \int_{Q_T} h^{1-q} \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{T^2} \right)^{2q(1-q)} \Phi \left| D_-^{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{T^2} \right)^{2q} \right|^q. \tag{20}$$

Now we compute the right-handed fractional derivative

$$\Gamma(1-\alpha)D_{-}^{\alpha}\left(1-\frac{t^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)^{2q} = -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{t}^{T} \frac{\left(1-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)^{2q}}{(\sigma-t)^{\alpha}}d\sigma = -T^{-4q}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{t}^{T} \frac{(T^{2}-\sigma^{2})^{2q}}{(\sigma-t)^{\alpha}}d\sigma.$$

Using the Euler's change of variable

$$y = \frac{\sigma - t}{T - t}$$

we see that

$$1 - y = \frac{T - \sigma}{T - t}$$
 and  $1 - y^2 = \frac{T^2 - \sigma^2}{(T - t)^2} - 2t\frac{1 - y}{T - t}$ .

Therefore

$$I := \int_{t}^{T} \frac{(T^{2} - \sigma^{2})^{2q}}{(\sigma - t)^{\alpha}} d\sigma = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ (1 - y^{2}) + 2t \frac{1 - y}{T - t} \right]^{2q} (T - t)^{4q - \alpha + 1} y^{-\alpha} dy$$

or

$$I = (T-t)^{4q-\alpha+1} \int_{0}^{1} y^{-\alpha} (1-y)^{2q} \left[ (1+y) + \frac{2t}{T-t} \right]^{2q} dy.$$

By the binomial formula we may write

$$I = \sum_{l=0}^{2q} 2^{2q-l} C_l^{2q} t^{2q-l} (T-t)^{2q-\alpha+l+1} \int_0^1 y^{-\alpha} (1-y)^{2q} (1+y)^l dy$$

where

$$C_l^{2q} = \frac{2q(2q-1)(2q-2)...(2q-l+1)}{l!}.$$

Using the formula

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-\tau)^{u-1} \tau^{v-1} d\tau = \frac{\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(u+v)}, \ u, v > 0$$

we obtain

$$I = \sum_{l=0}^{2q} 2^{2q-l} C_l^{2q} M_l t^{2q-l} (T-t)^{2q-\alpha+l+1}$$

where

$$M_l := \sum_{n=0}^{l} C_n^l \frac{\Gamma(2q+1)\Gamma(n-\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(2q-\alpha+n+2)}.$$

Hence

$$D_{-}^{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{t^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)^{2q} = \frac{-T^{-4q}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{l=0}^{2q} 2^{2q-l} C_{l}^{2q} M_{l} t^{2q-l-1} (T-t)^{2q-\alpha+l} \left[ (2q-l)T - (4q-\alpha+1)t \right].$$

Substituting this expression in (20) we obtain that

$$\int_{Q_T} \left| D_-^{\alpha} \varphi \right|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p} = \frac{T^{1-\alpha q}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} (1-\tau^2)^{2q(1-q)} \Phi 
\times \left| \sum_{l=0}^{2q} 2^{2q-l} C_l^{2q} M_l \tau^{2q-l-1} (1-\tau)^{2q-\alpha+l} \left[ (2q-l) - (4q-\alpha+1)\tau \right] \right|^q.$$

It is not difficult to see that, as  $l+2-\alpha>0$ , we have the estimation

$$\int_{Q_T} \left| D_-^{\alpha} \varphi \right|^q (\varphi h)^{-q/p} \le L T^{1-\alpha q} \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} \Phi \tag{21}$$

with  $L:=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\left[\sum_{l=0}^{2q}2^{2q-l}C_l^{2q}M_l(6q-l-\alpha+1)\right]^q$ . Now observing that

$$\inf_{|x|>R} \left( u_1(x)h^{q-1} \right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} h^{1-q} \Phi \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u_1(x) \varphi_0(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} u_1(x) \Phi(x)$$

and gathering the relations (17)-(19) and (21), we infer that

$$\inf_{|x|>R} \left( u_1(x)h^{q-1} \right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} h^{1-q} \Phi$$

$$\leq \left[ \gamma^q T^{1-2q} + k^q R^{-2q} T + L T^{1-\alpha q} \right] C_{1/4} \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} \Phi. \tag{22}$$

Taking the sup with respect to t of both sides of (22) and making use of the assumption  $\inf_{t\in\mathbf{R}^+}h(t,x)>0$ , we can divide by  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^N}\left(\inf_{t\in\mathbf{R}^+}h\right)^{1-q}\Phi>0$  (recall that 1-q<0). Then, letting  $R\to+\infty$ , we obtain

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} \left( u_1(x)h^{q-1} \right) \le \left( \frac{\gamma^q}{T^{2q-1}} + LT^{1-\alpha q} \right) C_{1/4}.$$
(23)

We can immediately deduce the following results

Corollary 1. Let p > 1. Assume that

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} u_1(x)h^{p/(p-1)}(t,x) = +\infty,$$

then problem (WE)-(1) has no weak local solution for any T > 0.

Corollary 2. Suppose that  $1 and <math>u_1(x) \ge 0$ . If (WE)-(1) admits a global weak solution, then

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} \left[ u_1(x) \left( \inf_{t\in\mathbf{R}^+} h(t,x) \right)^{q-1} \right] = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that (WE)-(1) has a global weak solution and that

$$P := \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \left[ u_1(x) \left( \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+} h(t, x) \right)^{q-1} \right] > 0.$$

Then from (23), it appears that

$$T \le \max \left\{ \left( \frac{\gamma^q + L}{P} C_{1/4} \right)^{1/(\alpha q - 1)}, \left( \frac{\gamma^q + L}{P} C_{1/4} \right)^{1/(2q - 1)} \right\}.$$

This is a contradiction.

The next theorem gives another necessary condition for existence of a global weak solution. At the same time it provides (in case  $u_1(x) \ge 0$ ) a sufficient condition for blow up in finite time of any possible local solution.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that 1 and <math>u is a global weak solution to (WE)-(1). Then, there exists a positive constant K such that

$$\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} \left( u_1(x) h^{q-1} |x|^{2(q\alpha - 1)/\alpha} \right) \le K.$$

*Proof.* As  $p < 1/(1-\alpha)$ , we have  $\alpha q - 1 > 0$  and then for T > 1 we may write

$$\gamma^q T^{1-2q} + k^q R^{-2q} T + L T^{1-\alpha q} \le \frac{\gamma^q + L}{T^{\alpha q - 1}} + k^q R^{-2q} T.$$

>From (22) we see that

$$\inf_{|x|>R} \left( u_1(x)h^{q-1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h^{1-q} \Phi \le \left( \frac{\gamma^q + L}{T^{\alpha q - 1}} + k^q R^{-2q} T \right) C_{1/4} \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} \Phi. \tag{24}$$

Minimizing the left hand side expression in (24) with respect to T, we obtain

$$\inf_{|x|>R} \left( u_1(x)h^{q-1} \right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} h^{1-q} \Phi$$

$$\leq \left( k^q + (\gamma^q + L)/K_1 \right) C_{1/4} K_1^{1/\alpha q} R^{-2(\alpha q - 1)/\alpha} \int_{O_1} h^{1-q} \Phi$$

where  $K_1 := (\alpha q - 1)(\gamma^q + L)/k^q$ . Now, using the assumptions on  $\Phi$  (namely, R < |x| < 2R), we see that

$$\inf_{|x|>R} \left( u_1(x)h^{q-1} |x|^{2(\alpha q-1)/\alpha} \right) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} h^{1-q} |x|^{-2(\alpha q-1)/\alpha} \Phi$$

$$\leq (k^q + (\gamma^q + L)/K_1) C_{1/4} 2^{-2(\alpha q-1)/\alpha} K_1^{1/\alpha q} \int_{Q_1} h^{1-q} |x|^{-2(\alpha q-1)/\alpha} \Phi. \tag{25}$$

To conclude it suffices to take the sup with respect to t of both sides of (25) and divide by  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left[\inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}^+} h(t, x)\right]^{1-q} |x|^{-2(\alpha q - 1)/\alpha} \Phi.$ 

## acknowledgement

The second author is very grateful to the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals for its financial support.

## References

- [1] Baras, P. and R. Kersner: Local and global solvability of a class of semilinear parabolic equations. J. Diff. Eqs., 68 (2) (1987), 238-252.
- [2] Baras, P. and M. Pierre: Problèmes paraboliques semi-linéaires avec donneés mesures. Applicable Analysis 18 (1984), 11-149.
- [3] Baras, P. and M. Pierre: Critère d'existence de solutions positives pour des équations semi-linéaires non monotones. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non linéaire, 2 (1985), 185-212.
- [4] Georgiev, V. and G. Todorova: Existence of a solution of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. J. Diff. Eqs., 109 (1994), 295-308.
- [5] Guedda, M. and M. Kirane: Criticality for evolution equation. (Russian), Differ. Uravn. 37 (2001), no. 4, 511-520, 574-575; translation in Diff. Equ. 37 (2001) no. 4, 540-550.
- [6] Kirane, M. and M. Qafsaoui: Global nonexistence for the Cauchy problem of some nonlinear Reaction-Diffusion systems. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 268 (1) (2002), 217-243.
- [7] Kirane, M. and M. Qafsaoui: Fujita's exponent for a semilinear wave equation with linear damping. Advanced Nonlinear Studies, 2 (1) (2002), 41-50.
- [8] Kirane, M. and N.-e. Tatar: Exponential growth for fractionally damped wave equation. Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen 22 (2003), No. 1, 167-178.
- [9] Lions, J.-L.: Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites nonlinéaires. Paris: Dunod; Gauthier-Villars 1969.
- [10] Mitidieri, E. and S. Pohozaev: A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., v. 234 (2001), 1-383.
- [11] Nakao, M.: Energy decay of the wave equation with a nonlinear dissipative term, Funkcial. Ekvac., 26 (1983), 237-250.
- [12] Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations, (Math. in Sci. and Eng.: Vol. 198). New-York-London: Acad. Press 1999.
- [13] Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A. and O.I. Marichev: Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach 1993 (Engl. trans. from the Russian edition 1987).
- [14] Seredynska, M and A. Hanyga: Nonlinear Hamiltonian equations with fractional damping. J. Math. Phys., 41 (2000), 2135–2156.

- [15] Tatar, N.-e.: A blow-up result for a fractionally damped wave equation. To appear in NoDEA.
- [16] Tatar, N.-e.: A wave equation with fractional damping. Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen (Journal for Analysis and its Applications ) 22 (3) (2003), 609-617.
- [17] Todorova, G. and B. Yordanov: Critical exponent for nonlinear wave equations with damping. J. Diff. Eqs. 174 (2001), 464-489.
- [18] Zhang, Q. S.: Blow up and global existence of solutions to an inhomogeneous parabolic system, J. Diff. Eqs., Vol. 147, Issue 1 (1998), 155-183.
- [19] Zhang, Q. S.: Blow up results for nonlinear parabolic equations on manifolds. Duke Math. J. Vol. 97, No. 3 (1999), 515-539.

#### Liste des prépublications

- 99-1 Monique Jeanblanc et Nicolas Privault. A complete market model with Poisson and Brownian components. A paraître dans *Proceedings of the Seminar on Stochastic Analysis*, Random Fields and Applications, Ascona, 1999.
- 99-2 Laurence Cherfils et Alain Miranville. Generalized Cahn-Hilliard equations with a logarithmic free energy. A paraître dans Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias.
- 99-3 Jean-Jacques Prat et Nicolas Privault. Explicit stochastic analysis of Brownian motion and point measures on Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **167** (1999) 201-242.
- 99-4 Changgui Zhang. Sur la fonction q-Gamma de Jackson. A paraître dans Aequationes Math.
- 99-5 Nicolas Privault. A characterization of grand canonical Gibbs measures by duality. A paraître dans *Potential Analysis*.
- 99-6 Guy Wallet. La variété des équations surstables. A paraître dans Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France.
- 99-7 Nicolas Privault et Jiang-Lun Wu. Poisson stochastic integration in Hilbert spaces.

  Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal, 6 (1999) 41-61.
- 99-8 Augustin Fruchard et Reinhard Schäfke. Sursabilité et résonance.
- 99-9 Nicolas Privault. Connections and curvature in the Riemannian geometry of configuration spaces. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 330 (2000) 899-904.
- 99-10 Fabienne Marotte et Changgui Zhang. Multisommabilité des séries entières solutions formelles d'une équation aux q-différences linéaire analytique. A paraître dans Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 2000.
- 99-11 Knut Aase, Bernt Øksendal, Nicolas Privault et Jan Ubøe. White noise generalizations of the Clark-Haussmann-Ocone theorem with application to mathematical finance. Finance and Stochastics, 4 (2000) 465-496.
- 00-01 Eric Benoît. Canards en un point pseudo-singulier nœud. A paraître dans Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France.
- 00-02 Nicolas Privault. Hypothesis testing and Skorokhod stochastic integration. *Journal* of Applied Probability, **37** (2000) 560-574.
- 00-03 Changgui Zhang. La fonction théta de Jacobi et la sommabilité des séries entières q-Gevrey, I. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I 331 (2000) 31-34.
- 00-04 Guy Wallet. Déformation topologique par changement d'échelle.
- 00-05 Nicolas Privault. Quantum stochastic calculus for the uniform measure and Boolean convolution. A paraître dans Séminaire de Probabilités XXXV.
- 00-06 Changgui Zhang. Sur les fonctions q-Bessel de Jackson.
- 00-07 Laure Coutin, David Nualart et Ciprian A. Tudor. Tanaka formula for the fractional Brownian motion. A paraître dans Stochastic Processes and their Applications.

- 00-08 Nicolas Privault. On logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for normal martingales. Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse 9 (2000) 509-518.
- 01-01 Emanuelle Augeraud-Veron et Laurent Augier. Stabilizing endogenous fluctuations by fiscal policies; Global analysis on piecewise continuous dynamical systems. A paraître dans Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics
- 01-02 Delphine Boucher. About the polynomial solutions of homogeneous linear differential equations depending on parameters. A paraître dans Proceedings of the 1999 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation: ISSAC 99, Sam Dooley Ed., ACM, New York 1999.
- 01-03 Nicolas Privault. Quasi-invariance for Lévy processes under anticipating shifts.
- 01-04 Nicolas Privault. Distribution-valued iterated gradient and chaotic decompositions of Poisson jump times functionals.
- 01-05 Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Deviation inequalities: an approach via covariance representations.
- 01-06 Abdallah El Hamidi. Remarques sur les sentinelles pour les systèmes distribués
- 02-01 Eric Benoît, Abdallah El Hamidi et Augustin Fruchard. On combined asymptotic expansions in singular perturbation.
- 02-02 Rachid Bebbouchi et Eric Benoît. Equations différentielles et familles bien nées de courbes planes.
- 02-03 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Nonexistence of solutions to systems of higher-order semilinear inequalities in cone-like domains.
- 02-04 Hassan Lakhel, Youssef Ouknine, et Ciprian A. Tudor. Besov regularity for the indefinite Skorohod integral with respect to the fractional Brownian motion: the singular case.
- 02-05 Nicolas Privault et Jean-Claude Zambrini. Markovian bridges and reversible diffusions with jumps.
- 02-06 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Existence and Nonexistence Results for Reaction-Diffusion Equations in Product of Cones.
- 02-07 Guy Wallet. Nonstandard generic points.
- 02-08 Gilles Bailly-Maitre. On the monodromy representation of polynomials.
- 02-09 Abdallah El Hamidi. Necessary conditions for local and global solvability of non-diagonal degenerate systems.
- 02-10 Abdallah El Hamidi et Amira Obeid. Systems of Semilinear higher order evolution inequalities on the Heisenberg group.
- 03-01 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Non existence de solutions d'inéquations semilinéaires dans des domaines coniques.
- 03-02 Eris Benoît et Marie-Joëlle Rochet. A continuous model of biomass size spectra governed by predation and the effects of fishing on them.

- 03-03 Catherine Stenger: On a conjecture of Wolfgang Wasow concerning the nature of turning points.
- 03-04 Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Surface measures and related functional inequalities on configuration spaces.
- 03-05 Abdallah El Hamidi et Mokhtar Kirane. Nonexistence results of solutions to systems of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group.
- 03-06 Uwe Franz, Nicolas Privault et René Schott. Non-Gaussian Malliavin calculus on real Lie algebras.
- 04-01 Abdallah El Hamidi. Multiple solutions to a nonlinear elliptic equation involving Paneitz type operators.
- 04-02 Mohamed Amara, Amira Obeid et Guy Vallet. Relaxed formulation and existence result of the degenerated elliptic small disturbance model.
- 04-03 Hippolyte d'Albis et Emmanuelle Augeraud-Veron. Competitive Growth in a Lifecycle Model: Existence and Dynamics
- 04-04 Sadjia Aït-Mokhtar: Third order differential equations with fixed critical points.
- 04-05 Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Asymptotic Behavior for a Reaction Diffusion System with Unbounded Coefficients.
- 04-06 Mokhtar Kirane, Eric Nabana et Stanislav I. Pohozaev. Nonexistence of Global Solutions to an Elliptic Equation with a Dynamical Boundary Condition.
- 04-07 Khaled M. Furati, Nasser-eddine Tatar and Mokhtar Kirane. Existence and asymptotic behavior for a convection Problem.
- 04-08 José Alfredo López-Mimbela et Nicolas Privault. Blow-up and stability of semilinear PDE's with gamma generator.
- 04-09 Abdallah El Hamidi. Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equation.
- 04-10 Sadjia Aït-Mokhtar: A singularly perturbed Riccati equation.
- 04-11 Mohamed Amara, Amira Obeid et Guy Vallet. Weighted Sobolev spaces for a degenerated nonlinear elliptic equation.
- 04-12 Abdallah El Hamidi. Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions.
- 04-13 Eric Edo et Jean-Philippe Furter: Some families of polynomial automorphisms.
- 04-14 Laurence Cherfils et Yavdat Il'yasov. On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with p & q- Laplacian.
- 04-15 Jean-Christophe Breton et Youri Davydov. Local limit theorem for supremum of an empirical processes for i.i.d. random variables.
- 04-16 Jean-Christophe Breton, Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Dimension free and infinite variance tail estimates on Poisson space.

- 04-17 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Existence and nonexistence results for higher-order semilinear evolution inequalities with critical potential.
- 05-01 Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Nonexistence of Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping.