



Prépublications du Département de Mathématiques

Université de La Rochelle
Avenue Michel Crépeau
17042 La Rochelle Cedex 1
<http://www.univ-lr.fr/labo/lmca/>

Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of
quasilinear problems

Claudianor O. Alves et Abdallah El Hamidi

Juin 2005

Classification: 35J60, 35J25, 35J65.

Mots clés:

2005/05

Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of quasilinear problems

C.O. Alves* and A. El Hamidi

Abstract

In this paper, existence and multiplicity results to the following nonlinear elliptic equation

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N,$$

together with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann or Neumann boundary conditions, are established. Here, $\Delta_p u$ denotes the p-Laplacian operator, $1 < q < p < N$, $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ and λ is a positive real parameter. The study is based on the extraction of Palais-Smale sequences in the Nehari manifold.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we deal with the existence of multiple solutions to the boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = -a(x) |u|^{p-2} u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with respect to the real parameter λ . Here, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega = \bar{\Gamma} \cup \bar{\Sigma}$, where Γ, Σ are smooth $(N-1)$ -dimensional submanifolds of $\partial\Omega$ with positive measures such that $\Gamma \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, Δ_p is the p-Laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outer normal derivative, and $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$. Throughout this paper, the function a is assumed to be in $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $a(s) \geq a_0 > 0$ almost everywhere on a subset of $\partial\Omega$ with positive measure.

*Research Supported by Millennium Institute for the Global Advancement of Brazilian Mathematics - IM-AGIMB

In a recent paper, K. J. Brown & Y. Zhang [6] have studied a subcritical semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function and a bifurcation real parameter in the case $p = 2$ and Dirichlet boundary conditions. Exploiting the relationship between the Nehari manifold and fibering maps (i.e., maps of the form $t \mapsto J_\lambda(tu)$ where J_λ is the Euler function associated with the equation), they gave an interesting explanation of a well known bifurcation result. In fact, the nature of the Nehari manifold changes as the parameter λ crosses the bifurcation value. In Tarantello [16], using the same type of approach the critical case has been studied also assuming $p = 2$, $q = 2$ and Neumann boundary conditions.

In this work, we exploit similar facts to show the existence of multiple nontrivial positive solutions to (1) and (2). The idea of our approach can be summarized as follows: Let I_λ (resp. J_λ) the Euler functional associated to Problem (1) (resp. Problem (2)) defined on $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (resp. on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$), where

$$W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); u|_\Gamma = 0\}$$

is the closure of $C_0^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma)$ with respect to the norm of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (we refer the reader to the excellent paper by Colorado & Peral [8] for a complete study in the case $p = 2$).

For each $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ (resp. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$) $\lambda > 0$, we determine the real values of t (in terms of u and λ) such that tu belongs to the Nehari manifold:

$$\mathcal{N}_{I_\lambda} = \left\{ v \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : I'_\lambda(v)(v) = 0 \right\}$$

$$\text{(resp. } \mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda} = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : J'_\lambda(v)(v) = 0\} \text{)}.$$

Then, the variable t is substituted by these special values to obtain new Euler functionals defined on the Nehari manifold. One shows easily that these functionals are bounded below, which allows to find possible critical points by minimization. Moreover, this approach allows the simultaneous construction of Palais-Smale sequences, in the Nehari manifold, giving directly existence and multiplicity results [10].

Let us mention that in the case $p = 2$ and with a subcritical concave-convex nonlinearity, this problem was studied recently by Colorado & Peral [8]. The authors showed that there is a special value Λ of the parameter λ such that Problem (1) admits at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$, admits at least one positive solution for $\lambda = \Lambda$ and admits no positive solution for $\lambda > \Lambda$. In our opinion, an interesting question which is not treated in our paper, is to characterize Λ as a bifurcation value via the dynamic of the Nehari manifold with respect to the parameter λ (see [6]). Some results involving the p-Laplacian operator, concave-convex nonlinearity and

Dirichlet boundary conditions can be found in the papers of Garcia Azorero & Peral Alonso [11] and Ambrosetti, Garcia Azorero & Peral Alonso [4].

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to Problem (1) and Section 3 is the subject of Problem (2).

2 The mixed Dirichlet-Neumann Problem (1)

It is well known that weak solutions of (1) correspond to critical points of the C^1 functional $I_\lambda : W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p}P(u) - \frac{\lambda}{q}Q(u) - \frac{1}{p^*}P^*(u),$$

where

$$P(u) = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx, \quad Q(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \quad \text{and} \quad P^*(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^{p^*} dx.$$

Using the fact that Γ has strictly positive measure, the Poincaré inequality is still available in the space $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$, hence it can be endowed with the following norm

$$\|u\| = \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

(see some commentaries in Kesavan's book [12, page 125], for the case $p=2$).

In the sequel, $\|\cdot\|$, $\|\cdot\|_q$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p^*}$ will denote the norms on $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $L^q(\Omega)$ and $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ respectively. We introduce the modified functional \tilde{I}_λ defined on $\mathbb{R} \times W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by $\tilde{I}_\lambda(t, u) := I_\lambda(tu)$, (see [19, 9, 10]). For every $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(\cdot, u)$ (resp. $\partial_{tt} \tilde{I}_\lambda(\cdot, u)$) is the first (resp. second) derivative of the real valued function: $t \mapsto \tilde{I}_\lambda(t, u)$.

2.1 Preliminary results

Since the functional \tilde{I}_λ is even in t and that we are interested by the nontrivial solutions of (1), we limit our study for $t > 0$ and $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 1 *For every $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, there is a unique $\lambda(u) > 0$ such that the real valued function $t \mapsto \partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t, u)$ has exactly two positive zeros (resp. one positive zero) if $0 < \lambda < \lambda(u)$ (resp. $\lambda = \lambda(u)$). This function has no zero for $\lambda > \lambda(u)$.*

Proof. Let u be an arbitrary element of $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and let us write

$$\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t, u) = t^{q-1} H_\lambda(t, u), \quad \text{where} \quad H_\lambda(t, u) = t^{p-q} P(u) - \lambda Q(u) - t^{p^*-q} P^*(u).$$

Then

$$\partial_{tt}\tilde{I}_\lambda(t, u) = (q-1)t^{q-2}H_\lambda(t, u) + t^{q-1}\partial_t H_\lambda(t, u),$$

holds true, with

$$\partial_t H_\lambda(t, u) = t^{p-q-1} \left\{ (p-q)P(u) - (p^*-q)t^{p^*-p}P^*(u) \right\}.$$

The real valued function $H_\lambda(\cdot, u)$ is increasing on $(0, t(u))$, decreasing on $(t(u), +\infty)$ and attains its unique maximum for $t = t(u)$, where

$$t(u) = \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \frac{P(u)}{P^*(u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}}. \quad (3)$$

Thus, the function $H_\lambda(\cdot, u)$ has two positive zeros (resp. one positive zero) if $H_\lambda(t(u), u) > 0$ (resp. if $H_\lambda(t(u), u) = 0$) and has no zero if $H_\lambda(t(u), u) < 0$. On the other hand, a direct computation gives

$$H_\lambda(t(u), u) = \frac{p^*-p}{p-q} \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \frac{P(u)}{P^*(u)} \right)^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}} P^*(u) - \lambda Q(u).$$

Similarly, $H_\lambda(t(u), u) > 0$ (resp. $H_\lambda(t(u), u) < 0$) if $\lambda < \lambda(u)$ (resp. $\lambda > \lambda(u)$) and $H_{\lambda(u)}(t(u), u) = 0$, where

$$\lambda(u) = \Theta \frac{P^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}}(u)}{Q(u) P^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}}(u)}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$\Theta = \frac{p^*-p}{p-q} \left(\frac{p-q}{p^*-q} \right)^{\frac{p^*-q}{p^*-p}}.$$

It follows that if $\lambda \in]0, \lambda(u)[$, the real valued function $\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(\cdot, u)$ has two positive zeros, denoted by $t_1(u, \lambda)$ and $t_2(u, \lambda)$, verifying $0 < t_1(u, \lambda) < t(u) < t_2(u, \lambda)$.

Since, $H_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) = H_\lambda(t_2(u, \lambda), u) = 0$, $\partial_t H_\lambda(t, u) > 0$ for $t < t(u)$ and $\partial_t H_\lambda(t, u) < 0$ for $t > t(u)$, it follows that

$$\partial_{tt}\tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) > 0 \text{ and } \partial_{tt}\tilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u, \lambda), u) < 0.$$

This means that the real valued function $\tilde{I}_\lambda(\cdot, u)$, defined for $t > 0$, achieves its unique local minimum (resp. unique local maximum) at $t = t_1(u, \lambda)$ (resp. $t = t_2(u, \lambda)$). \square

Notice that for every $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda(u))$, $t_1(u, \lambda)u$ and $t_2(u, \lambda)u$ belong to the Nehari manifold [19] defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{I_\lambda} := \{u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : I'_\lambda(u)u = 0\}.$$

Now, we introduce

$$\lambda_1^* := \inf \left\{ \lambda(u) : u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

If $S_{\Gamma,q}$ (resp. S_Γ) denotes the best Sobolev constant of the embedding $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$ (resp. $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega)$), then

$$\lambda_1^* \geq \widehat{C} S_{\Gamma,q}^{q/p} S_\Gamma^{\frac{N}{p}(1-q/p)} > 0.$$

Since $\partial_t \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) = 0$ (resp. $\partial_t \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u, \lambda), u) = 0$) for every $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that the functional $u \mapsto \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u)$ (resp. $u \mapsto \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u, \lambda), u)$) is bounded below on $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$.

Thus, for every $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1^*)$, we define

$$\alpha_1(\lambda) = \inf \left\{ \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) : u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha_2(\lambda) = \inf \left\{ \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u, \lambda), u) : u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.1 For every real number $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{I}_\lambda \left(\gamma t, \frac{u}{\gamma} \right) &= \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t, u), \\ \partial_t \widetilde{I}_\lambda \left(\gamma t, \frac{u}{\gamma} \right) &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \partial_t \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t, u), \\ \partial_{tt} \widetilde{I}_\lambda \left(\gamma t, \frac{u}{\gamma} \right) &= \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \partial_{tt} \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t, u), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$t_1(u, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\gamma} t_1 \left(\frac{u}{\gamma}, \lambda \right), \quad (8)$$

$$t_2(u, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\gamma} t_2 \left(\frac{u}{\gamma}, \lambda \right). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, $\alpha_1(\lambda)$ and $\alpha_2(\lambda)$ can be rewritten as follows

$$\alpha_1(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}} \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u), \quad (10)$$

$$\alpha_2(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}} \widetilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u, \lambda), u), \quad (11)$$

where \mathbb{S} is the unit sphere of $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2 Let $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimizing sequence of (10) (resp. of (11)) and $U_n := t_1(u_n, \lambda)u_n$ (resp. $V_n := t_2(u_n, \lambda)u_n$). Then

$$(i) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\| < +\infty \quad (\text{resp. } \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|V_n\| < +\infty),$$

$$(ii) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\| > 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|V_n\| > 0).$$

Proof.

(i) Let $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimizing sequence of (10). Since $\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n) = 0$, it follows that

$$\|U_n\|^p = \lambda \|U_n\|_q^q + \|U_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*}. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, since $\partial_{tt} \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n) > 0$, it follows that

$$(p-1)\|U_n\|^p - \lambda(q-1)\|U_n\|_q^q - (p^*-1)\|U_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} > 0. \quad (13)$$

Combining (12) and (13), we get $I_\lambda(U_n) < 0$, for every n .

Suppose that there is a subsequence of (U_n) , still denoted by (U_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\| = +\infty$. It is well known that there is some constant $C_{p,q}$ such that $\|U_n\|_q \leq C_{p,q} \|U_n\|_{p^*}$ for every n , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\|_{p^*} = +\infty$. Using the fact that $0 < q < p^*$ we get $\|U_n\|_q^q = o_n(\|U_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*})$, and consequently

$$\|U_n\|^p = \|U_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} (1 + o_n(1)).$$

Thus,

$$I_\lambda(U_n) = \|U_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \left(\frac{1}{N} + o_n(1) \right),$$

which implies that $I_\lambda(U_n)$ tends to $+\infty$ as n goes to $+\infty$ and this is impossible. Hence, we conclude that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\| < +\infty$.

The same arguments with a minimizing sequence (u_n) of (11) show that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|V_n\| < +\infty$.

(ii) Let $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimizing sequence of (10) and suppose that there is a subsequence of (U_n) , still denoted by (U_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\| = 0$. It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_\lambda(U_n) = 0$ i.e. $\alpha_1(\lambda) = 0$, which is impossible since $\tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n) < 0$ for every n .

Let $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimizing sequence of (11). Since $\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u_n, \lambda), u_n) = 0$ and $\partial_{tt} \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_2(u_n, \lambda), u_n) < 0$ it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \|V_n\|^p - \lambda \|V_n\|_q^q - \|V_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} = 0, \\ (p-1)\|V_n\|^p - \lambda(q-1)\|V_n\|_q^q - (p^*-1)\|V_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} < 0. \end{cases}$$

Combining the two last inequalities we obtain, for every n ,

$$(p-q)\|V_n\|^p < (p^*-q)\|V_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \leq (p^*-q)S_\Gamma^{p^*/p} \|V_n\|^{p^*},$$

via the continuous embedding $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega)$. Then $(p-q) \leq (p^*-q)S_\Gamma^{p^*/p} \|V_n\|^{p^*-p}$. Now, suppose that there is a subsequence of (V_n) , still denoted by (V_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|V_n\| = 0$. This implies that $p-q \leq 0$, which is impossible. \square

Lemma 3 *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimizing sequence of (10) (resp. of (11)). Then, $(U_n) := (t_1(u_n, \lambda)u_n)$ (resp. $(V_n) := (t_2(u_n, \lambda)u_n)$) are Palais-Smale sequences for the functional I_λ .*

Proof. We will show this lemma only for the sequence (U_n) , the proof for (V_n) can be done in the same way.

First, according to the previous lemma, it is clear that (U_n) is bounded in $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$. On the other hand, notice that for every $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1^*)$, we have $\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) = 0$ and $\partial_{tt} \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) \neq 0$. The implicit function theorem implies that $t_1(u, \lambda)$ is C^1 with respect to u since \tilde{I} is. Let us introduce the C^1 functional \mathcal{I}_λ defined on \mathbb{S} by

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) = \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda), u) \equiv I_\lambda(t_1(u, \lambda)u).$$

Then

$$\alpha_1(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{I}_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_1(\lambda).$$

Using the Ekeland variational principle on the complete manifold $(\mathbb{S}, \|\cdot\|)$ to the functional \mathcal{I}_λ , we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n)(\varphi_n)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \|\varphi_n\|, \quad \text{for every } \varphi_n \in \mathbb{T}_{u_n} \mathbb{S},$$

where $\mathbb{T}_{u_n} \mathbb{S}$ is the tangent space to \mathbb{S} at the point u_n . Moreover, for every $\varphi_n \in \mathbb{T}_{u_n} \mathbb{S}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n)(\varphi_n) &= \partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n) t'_1(u_n, \lambda)(\varphi_n) + \partial_u \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n), \\ &= \partial_u \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n), \end{aligned}$$

since $\partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n) \equiv 0$, where $t'_1(u_n, \lambda)$ denotes the derivative of $t_1(\cdot, \lambda)$ with respect to its first variable at the point (u_n, λ) .

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} &\longrightarrow (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S} \\ u &\longmapsto \left(\|u\|, \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right) := (\pi_1(u), \pi_2(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we get for every $(u, \varphi) \in (W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}) \times W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{cases} |\pi'_1(u)(\varphi)| &\leq \|\varphi\|, \\ \|\pi'_2(u)(\varphi)\| &\leq 2 \frac{\|\varphi\|}{\|u\|}. \end{cases}$$

>From Lemma 2, there is a positive constant C such that

$$t_1(u_n, \lambda) \geq C, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for every $\varphi \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there are $\varphi_n^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_n^2 \in \mathbb{T}_{u_n} \mathbb{S}$ such that $|\varphi_n^1| \leq \|\varphi\|$, $\|\varphi_n^2\| \leq \frac{2}{C} \|\varphi\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I'_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda)u_n)(\varphi) &= \partial_t \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n^1) + \partial_u \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n^2), \\ &= \partial_u \tilde{I}_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n^2), \\ &= \mathcal{I}'_\lambda(u_n)(\varphi_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I'_\lambda(t_1(u_n, \lambda)u_n)(\varphi) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|\varphi_n^2\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{nC} \|\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

We easily conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|I'_\lambda(U_n)\|_* = 0,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_*$ denotes the norm in the dual space of $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

Remark 2.2 *Until now, the minimizing sequences we consider are not nonnegative. Notice that for every $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1^*$, one has $\tilde{I}_\lambda(t, |u|) = \tilde{I}_\lambda(t, u)$, $t_1(|u|, \lambda) = t_1(u, \lambda)$ and $t_2(|u|, \lambda) = t_2(u, \lambda)$. Thus, every minimizing sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ of (10) or (11) can be considered as a sequence of nonnegative functions.*

Hereafter, we will assume the sequences U_n and V_n , defined in Lemma 3, to be nonnegative.

Since we consider mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions in Problem (1), we will need the following estimate, due to Cherrier [7]:

Lemma 4 *For each $\tau > 0$, there exists $M_\tau > 0$ such that*

$$\left[\frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{N-2}}} - \tau \right] \|u\|_{p^*}^p \leq \|\nabla u\|_p^p + M_\tau \|u\|_p^p, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

At this stage, we will state a version of the Concentration Compactness Lemma due P. L. Lions [13, 14], which follows using similar arguments explored in the case $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ together with the Cherrier's inequality. In the $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ case, we can refer the reader to [15] by Medeiros.

Lemma 5 *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a weakly convergent sequence in $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with weak limit u , and such that:*

- i) $\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p \rightarrow \mu$ weakly-** in the sense of measure,
- ii) $\|u_n\|_{p^*}^p \rightarrow \nu$ weakly-** in the sense of measure.

Then, for some finite index set I we have:

$$\begin{cases} 1) \nu = \|u\|_{p^*}^p + \sum_{j \in I} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, & \nu_j > 0, \\ 2) \mu \geq \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \sum_{j \in I} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, & \mu_j > 0, \\ 3) \text{ if } x_j \in \Omega \text{ then } S \nu_j^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \mu_j, \\ 4) \text{ if } x_j \in \Sigma \text{ then } \frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{N-2}}} \nu_j^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \mu_j. \end{cases}$$

Finally, using standard arguments and the previous lemma, we can prove the following lemma which will allow the use of Brézis & Lieb Lemma [5].

Lemma 6 *If $\{u_n\} \subset W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Palais-Smale Sequence to I_λ with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then the set $I^* = \{i; x_i \in \Omega\} \subset I$ given in Lemma 5 is finite or empty and for some subsequence*

$$\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Now, we establish that the Euler functional I_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition under some condition on the level of Palais-Smale sequences.

Lemma 7 *There exists a constant K depending only on p, q, N and Ω such that for every $\lambda > 0$, the functional I_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in the interval $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K\lambda^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-q}})$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for I_λ . Using standard arguments it follows that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Thus, from the above lemmas there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{u_n\}$ and a function $u \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$. Using the same arguments explored in Alves [3], there is a constant K depending only on p, q, N and Ω such that

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq -K\lambda^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-q}}.$$

Let $v_n = u_n - u$. Then by Brézis & Lieb [5], we have

$$\|v_n\|^p = \|u_n\|^p - \|u\|^p + o_n(1),$$

$$\|v_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} = \|u_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} - \|u\|_{p^*}^{p^*} + o_n(1),$$

and by Sobolev embedding

$$\int_\Omega |u_n|^q dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega |u|^q dx.$$

The above limits imply

$$\|v_n\|^p - \|v_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} = o_n(1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p}\|v_n\|^p - \frac{1}{p^*}\|v_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} = c - I_\lambda(u) + o_n(1).$$

Since the sequence $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exist $l \geq 0$ and a subsequence, still denote by $\{v_n\}$, verifying

$$\|v_n\|^p \rightarrow l.$$

Hence,

$$\|v_n\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \rightarrow l.$$

Using Cherrier's inequality and passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{p}}} - \tau \right] l^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq l \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{p}}} l^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq l.$$

Now, we claim that $l = 0$. Indeed in one hand, if $l > 0$ the last inequality implies

$$l \geq \frac{S^{\frac{N}{p}}}{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{N} l = c - I_\lambda(u),$$

and then

$$c \geq \frac{1}{2N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K \lambda^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-q}},$$

which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore, $l = 0$ and we conclude that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

□

Lemma 8 *Let $\beta := \frac{p^*}{p^*-q}$. There exist $v \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_2^* > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_2^*)$, we have*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(tv) < \frac{1}{2N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K \lambda^\beta.$$

In particular,

$$\alpha_2(\lambda) < \frac{1}{2N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K \lambda^\beta,$$

where K is the constant found in Lemma 7.

Proof. Let us denote by $\{w_\varepsilon\}$ the family of functions given by

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = C_N \varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^2}} \left(\varepsilon + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-N}{p}}$$

which attains the best constant S of the Sobolev embedding

$$D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Without loss of generality, we can consider that $0 \in \Sigma$. Moreover, the set $\partial\Omega$ satisfies the following property (see more details in Adimurthi, Pacella and Yadava [1]):

There exist $\delta > 0$, an open neighborhood \mathcal{V} of 0 and a diffeomorphism $\Psi : B_\delta(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ which has a jacobian determinant equal to one at 0, with $\Psi(B_\delta^+) = \mathcal{V} \cap \Omega$, where $B_\delta^+ = B_\delta(0) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\}$.

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\phi(x) = 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin. We define $u_\varepsilon(x) = \phi(x)w_\varepsilon(x)$. Taking $v_\varepsilon = \frac{u_\varepsilon}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{p^*}}$ and using the same type of arguments developed in Medeiros [15], we get the following estimates (see Tarantello [16] and Wang [18] for the case $p = 2$)

$$\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_p^p = \begin{cases} \frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{p}}} - C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}}) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) & \text{if } N \geq p^2 \\ \frac{S}{2^{\frac{N}{p}}} - C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}} f(\varepsilon) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) & \text{if } N < p^2 \end{cases}$$

where C is a positive constant and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\varepsilon) = +\infty$. Let $\delta_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{2N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K\lambda^\beta > 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta_2).$$

Using the definition of I_λ , we get

$$I_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{t^p}{p}\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_p^p - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

which implies that there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} I_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{2N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K\lambda^\beta, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta_2).$$

Analyzing the case $N \geq p^2$, we have

$$I_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} - C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}}) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q} \int v_\varepsilon^q, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} I_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{2N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} - C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}}) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) - \frac{\lambda t_0^q}{q} \int v_\varepsilon^q.$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{t \geq t_0} I_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{2N}S^{\frac{N}{p}} - C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}}) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) - K\lambda^\beta, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \delta_3),$$

where

$$\delta_3 = \left(\frac{t_0^q \int v_\varepsilon^q}{2Kq} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}.$$

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$-C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}}) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) < 0,$$

this is possible since $\frac{N-p}{p} - \frac{p-1}{p} \geq \frac{(p-1)^2}{p} > 0$. If we set $\lambda_2^* = \min\{\delta_2, \delta_3\}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(tv_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{2N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K\lambda^\beta, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda_2^*),$$

and finally

$$\alpha_2(\lambda) < \frac{1}{2N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K\lambda^\beta, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda_2^*).$$

The case $N < p^2$ follows with the same type of arguments. \square

Theorem 1 *Let $1 < q < p$ and $\widehat{\lambda} = \min\{\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*\}$. Then for $\lambda \in (0, \widehat{\lambda})$, problem (1) has at least two nonnegative solutions.*

Proof. Using the above lemmas, there exist two sequences of positive functions $\{U_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$ in $W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$I_\lambda(U_n) \rightarrow \alpha_1(\lambda), \quad \|I'_\lambda(U_n)\|_* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and

$$I_\lambda(V_n) \rightarrow \alpha_2(\lambda), \quad \|I'_\lambda(V_n)\|_* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Notice that for every $\lambda \in (0, \widehat{\lambda})$, one has

$$\alpha_1(\lambda) \leq \alpha_2(\lambda) < \frac{1}{2N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} - K\lambda^\beta.$$

Then, there exist two nonnegative functions $U_\lambda, V_\lambda \in W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega)$ verifying

$$U_n \longrightarrow U_\lambda \quad \text{in } W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$V_n \longrightarrow V_\lambda \quad \text{in } W_\Gamma^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, the solutions $\{U_\lambda\}$ and $\{V_\lambda\}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\partial_{tt} \widetilde{I}_\lambda(1, U_\lambda) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{tt} \widetilde{I}_\lambda(1, V_\lambda) < 0,$$

which imply that $U_\lambda \neq V_\lambda$. Finally, applying the Harnack's inequality (see Trudinger [17]), we conclude that $\{U_\lambda\}$ and $\{V_\lambda\}$ are positive in Ω . This achieves the proof. \square .

3 The Neumann Problem (2)

In this section, we will state similar results for the Neumann problem (2):

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = -a(x) |u|^{p-2} u & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let us recall that the function a is assumed to be in $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, $a(s) \geq a_0 > 0$ almost everywhere on a subset of $\partial\Omega$ with positive measure.

The Euler functional $J_\lambda : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ related to the above problem is given by

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} a(x) |u|^p - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_\Omega |u|^{p^*}.$$

As in the previous section, for solutions of (2) we understand critical points of the $C^1(W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ functional J_λ . Hereafter, we will denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the following norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} a(x) |u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. As in the previous section, P , Q and P^* stand for the following functionals

$$P(u) = \|u\|^p, \quad Q(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^q dx \quad \text{and} \quad P^*(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^{p^*} dx.$$

Now, we are able to state the following

Theorem 2 *Let $1 < q < p$, there exists $\widehat{\lambda}$ such that Problem (2) has at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in (0, \widehat{\lambda})$.*

Proof. With slight changes in the proofs of the last section we obtain the result. □

References

- [1] Admurthi, F. Pacella & S.L. Yadava, *Interaction between the geometry of the boundary and positive solutions of a semilinear Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity*, J. funct. Analysis **113** (1993), 318-350.
- [2] C.O. Alves, *Existência de solução Positiva de Equações Elípticas não-lineares variacionais em \mathbb{R}^N* , Doct. Dissertation, UnB, 1996.
- [3] C.O. Alves, *Multiple positive solutions for equations involving critical Sobolev exponent in \mathbb{R}^N* , Electron. J. Differential Equations **13** (1997), 1-10.

- [4] A. Ambrosetti, J. Garcia Azorero & I. Peral Alonso, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **137** (1996),219-242.
- [5] H. Brézis & E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1983) 486-490.
- [6] K. J. Brown & Y. Zhang, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function*, J. Differential Equations **193** (2003) 481-499.
- [7] P. Cherrier, *Meilleures constantes dans les inégalités relatives aux espaces de Sobolev*, Bull. Sc. Math. 2^e série, **108** (1984) 225-262.
- [8] E. Colorado & I. Peral, *Semilinear elliptic problems with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003) 468-507.
- [9] P. Drabek & S. Pohozaev, *Positive solutions for the p -Laplacian: application of the fibering method*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **127** (1997) 703-726.
- [10] A. El Hamidi, *Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **3** (2004) no. 2, 253–265.
- [11] J. Garcia Azorero & I. Peral Alonso, *Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent on with a nonsymmetric term*, Trans. Amer. Math. Society **2** (1991) 877-895.
- [12] S. Kesavan, *Topics in functional analysis and applications*, John Wiley & Sons (1989)
- [13] P. L. Lions, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (Part 1)*, Rex. Mat. Iberoamericana, **1** (1985) 145-201
- [14] P. L. Lions, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations, the limit case (Part 2)*, Rex. Mat. Iberoamericana, **1** (1985) 45-121.
- [15] E.S. de Medeiros, *Existência e concentração de solução para o p -Laplaciano com condição de Neumann*, Doct. Dissertation, UNICAMP, 2001.
- [16] G. Tarantello, *Multiplicity results for an inhomogeneous Neumann problem critical exponent* , Manuscripta Math. **81** (1993), 57-78.
- [17] N. S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **XX** (1967), 721-747.

- [18] X.J. Wang, *Neumann problem of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev Exponent* J. Diff. Eq. **93** (1991), 283-310.
- [19] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Birkhäuser, (1996)

Claudianor O. Alves
Univ. Federal de Campina Grande
Dept. Matemática e Estatística
58109-970, C. Grande - PB, Brazil.
e-mail: coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br

Abdallah El Hamidi
Laboratoire de Math. & Applications,
Université de la Rochelle,
17042 La Rochelle, France.
e-mail: aelhamid@univ-lr.fr

Liste des prépublications

- 99-1 Monique Jeanblanc et Nicolas Privault. A complete market model with Poisson and Brownian components. A paraître dans *Proceedings of the Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications*, Ascona, 1999.
- 99-2 Laurence Cherfils et Alain Miranville. Generalized Cahn-Hilliard equations with a logarithmic free energy. A paraître dans *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias*.
- 99-3 Jean-Jacques Prat et Nicolas Privault. Explicit stochastic analysis of Brownian motion and point measures on Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **167** (1999) 201-242.
- 99-4 Changgui Zhang. Sur la fonction q -Gamma de Jackson. A paraître dans *Aequationes Math.*
- 99-5 Nicolas Privault. A characterization of grand canonical Gibbs measures by duality. A paraître dans *Potential Analysis*.
- 99-6 Guy Wallet. La variété des équations surstables. A paraître dans *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*.
- 99-7 Nicolas Privault et Jiang-Lun Wu. Poisson stochastic integration in Hilbert spaces. *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, **6** (1999) 41-61.
- 99-8 Augustin Fruchard et Reinhard Schäfke. Sursabilité et résonance.
- 99-9 Nicolas Privault. Connections and curvature in the Riemannian geometry of configuration spaces. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **330** (2000) 899-904.
- 99-10 Fabienne Marotte et Changgui Zhang. Multisommabilité des séries entières solutions formelles d'une équation aux q -différences linéaire analytique. A paraître dans *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 2000.
- 99-11 Knut Aase, Bernt Øksendal, Nicolas Privault et Jan Ubøe. White noise generalizations of the Clark-Haussmann-Ocone theorem with application to mathematical finance. *Finance and Stochastics*, **4** (2000) 465-496.
- 00-01 Eric Benoît. Canards en un point pseudo-singulier nœud. A paraître dans *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*.
- 00-02 Nicolas Privault. Hypothesis testing and Skorokhod stochastic integration. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **37** (2000) 560-574.
- 00-03 Changgui Zhang. La fonction thêta de Jacobi et la sommabilité des séries entières q -Gevrey, I. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **331** (2000) 31-34.
- 00-04 Guy Wallet. Déformation topologique par changement d'échelle.
- 00-05 Nicolas Privault. Quantum stochastic calculus for the uniform measure and Boolean convolution. A paraître dans *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXV*.
- 00-06 Changgui Zhang. Sur les fonctions q -Bessel de Jackson.
- 00-07 Laure Coutin, David Nualart et Ciprian A. Tudor. Tanaka formula for the fractional Brownian motion. A paraître dans *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.
- 00-08 Nicolas Privault. On logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for normal martingales. *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse* **9** (2000) 509-518.
- 01-01 Emanuelle Augeraud-Veron et Laurent Augier. Stabilizing endogenous fluctuations by fiscal policies; Global analysis on piecewise continuous dynamical systems. A paraître dans *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*

- 01-02 Delphine Boucher. About the polynomial solutions of homogeneous linear differential equations depending on parameters. A paraitre dans *Proceedings of the 1999 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation: ISSAC 99, Sam Dooley Ed., ACM, New York 1999.*
- 01-03 Nicolas Privault. Quasi-invariance for Lévy processes under anticipating shifts.
- 01-04 Nicolas Privault. Distribution-valued iterated gradient and chaotic decompositions of Poisson jump times functionals.
- 01-05 Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Deviation inequalities: an approach via covariance representations.
- 01-06 Abdallah El Hamidi. Remarques sur les sentinelles pour les systèmes distribués
- 02-01 Eric Benoit, Abdallah El Hamidi et Augustin Fruchard. On combined asymptotic expansions in singular perturbation.
- 02-02 Rachid Bebbouchi et Eric Benoit. Equations différentielles et familles bien nées de courbes planes.
- 02-03 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Nonexistence of solutions to systems of higher-order semilinear inequalities in cone-like domains.
- 02-04 Hassan Lakhel, Youssef Ouknine, et Ciprian A. Tudor. Besov regularity for the indefinite Skorohod integral with respect to the fractional Brownian motion: the singular case.
- 02-05 Nicolas Privault et Jean-Claude Zambrini. Markovian bridges and reversible diffusions with jumps.
- 02-06 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Existence and Nonexistence Results for Reaction-Diffusion Equations in Product of Cones.
- 02-07 Guy Wallet. Nonstandard generic points.
- 02-08 Gilles Bailly-Maitre. On the monodromy representation of polynomials.
- 02-09 Abdallah El Hamidi. Necessary conditions for local and global solvability of nondiagonal degenerate systems.
- 02-10 Abdallah El Hamidi et Amira Obeid. Systems of Semilinear higher order evolution inequalities on the Heisenberg group.
- 03-01 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Non existence de solutions d'inéquations semilinéaires dans des domaines coniques.
- 03-02 Eris Benoit et Marie-Joëlle Rochet. A continuous model of biomass size spectra governed by predation and the effects of fishing on them.
- 03-03 Catherine Stenger: On a conjecture of Wolfgang Wasow concerning the nature of turning points.
- 03-04 Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Surface measures and related functional inequalities on configuration spaces.
- 03-05 Abdallah El Hamidi et Mokhtar Kirane. Nonexistence results of solutions to systems of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group.
- 03-06 Uwe Franz, Nicolas Privault et René Schott. Non-Gaussian Malliavin calculus on real Lie algebras.
- 04-01 Abdallah El Hamidi. Multiple solutions to a nonlinear elliptic equation involving Paneitz type operators.
- 04-02 Mohamed Amara, Amira Obeid et Guy Vallet. Relaxed formulation and existence result of the degenerated elliptic small disturbance model.
- 04-03 Hippolyte d'Albis et Emmanuelle Augeraud-Veron. Competitive Growth in a Life-cycle Model: Existence and Dynamics
- 04-04 Sadjia Aït-Mokhtar: Third order differential equations with fixed critical points.
- 04-05 Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Asymptotic Behavior for a Reaction Diffusion System with Unbounded Coefficients.

- 04-06 Mokhtar Kirane, Eric Nabana et Stanislav I. Pohozaev. Nonexistence of Global Solutions to an Elliptic Equation with a Dynamical Boundary Condition.
- 04-07 Khaled M. Furati, Nasser-eddine Tatar and Mokhtar Kirane. Existence and asymptotic behavior for a convection Problem.
- 04-08 José Alfredo López-Mimbela et Nicolas Privault. Blow-up and stability of semilinear PDE's with gamma generator.
- 04-09 Abdallah El Hamidi. Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equation.
- 04-10 Sadjia Aït-Mokhtar: A singularly perturbed Riccati equation.
- 04-11 Mohamed Amara, Amira Obeid et Guy Vallet. Weighted Sobolev spaces for a degenerated nonlinear elliptic equation.
- 04-12 Abdallah El Hamidi. Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions.
- 04-13 Eric Edo et Jean-Philippe Furter: Some families of polynomial automorphisms.
- 04-14 Laurence Cherfils et Yavdat Il'yasov. On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with p & q - Laplacian.
- 04-15 Jean-Christophe Breton et Youri Davydov. Local limit theorem for supremum of an empirical processes for i.i.d. random variables.
- 04-16 Jean-Christophe Breton, Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Dimension free and infinite variance tail estimates on Poisson space.
- 04-17 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Existence and nonexistence results for higher-order semilinear evolution inequalities with critical potential.
- 05-01 Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Nonexistence of Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping.
- 05-02 Mokhtar Kirane et Yamina Laskri. Nonexistence of Global Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping.
- 05-03 Mokhtar Kirane, Yamina Laskri et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Critical Exponents of Fujita Type for Certain Evolution Equations and Systems with Spatio-Temporal Fractional Derivatives.
- 05-04 Abdallah El Hamidi et Jean-Michel Rakotoson. Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponents
- 05-05 Claudianor O. Alves et Abdallah El Hamidi. Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of quasilinear problems.