



Prépublications du Département de Mathématiques

Université de La Rochelle
Avenue Michel Crépeau
17042 La Rochelle Cedex 1
<http://www.univ-lr.fr/labo/lmca>

On a perturbed anisotropic equation

A. El Hamidi and J.-M. Rakotoson

Mai 2006

Classification: 35J25, 35J60, 35J65.

Mots clés: anisotropic critical exponent, critical level, compactness, Nehari manifold

2006/03

On a pertubed anisotropic equation

A. El Hamidi and J. M. Rakotoson

Abstract

We study a perturbed anisotropic equation without using the knowledge of the limiting problem. This provides a different method from that introduced by Brézis and Nirenberg [4]. Our arguments use some tools recently developed in [5, 6].

Keywords: anisotropic critical exponent, critical level, compactness, Nehari manifold

MCS: 35J25, 35J60, 35J65

1 Introduction.

In this paper, we give new results on critical anisotropic elliptic equations. More precisely, we study the following problem

$$-\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) = \lambda a(x) |u|^{q-2} u + |u|^{p^*-2} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ is a parameter and the exponents p_i, p^* satisfy the following conditions:
 $p_i > 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} > 1, \quad \max\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N\} < p^*$ and the critical exponent p^* associated to the main operator of (1) is defined by

$$p^* := \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} - 1}.$$

The function a is a nontrivial and nonnegative function in $L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-q}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In the isotropic case $p_i = 2, i = 1, \dots, N$, the existence of minimizing solutions in the special case $\lambda = 0$ was completely solved by Aubin [2] and G. Talenti [13]. Their proofs are based on symmetrization theory. Notice that this theory is not relevant in our context since the radial symmetry of solutions can not hold true because of the anisotropy of the operator.

We make precise here that existence results to Problem (1) need in general some precise knowledge of extremal functions to the limiting problem corresponding to $\lambda = 0$ (see for example [4]). In this work, we will carry out a different method.

The natural functional framework of Problem (1) is the anisotropic Sobolev spaces theory developed by [10, 15, 11, 12, 14]. Then, let $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the completion of the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\| := \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|_{p_i}$. It is well known that $(\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|)$ is a reflexive Banach space which is continuously embedded in $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In this work, we deal with the nonlocal existence, with respect to λ , of nontrivial and nonnegative solutions to Problem (1). More precisely, we will show the existence of a characteristic value of λ , denoted by λ^* such that Problem (1) has nontrivial nonnegative solutions for every $\lambda > \lambda^*$. On the other hand, the notion of critical level associated to (1) will be introduced, notice that this notion was first introduced by T. Aubin [1] for the isotropic Laplace operator.

Consider the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to Problem (1) defined by

$$J_\lambda(u) := \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx - \frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |u|^q dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} dx$$

which is of class $C^1(\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$. The space $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can also be seen as

$$\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right| \in L^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}.$$

We introduce

$$\mathcal{D}_+^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \geq 0 \}.$$

By solutions of Problem (1) we understand critical points of the functional J_λ . Remark that the functional J_λ is bounded neither above nor below on $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, to find possible critical points of J_λ , we limit the study to the corresponding Nehari manifold which contains all critical points of J_λ . We recall that the Nehari manifold associated to J_λ , denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda} := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : J'_\lambda(\varphi)(\varphi) = 0 \}.$$

In the sequel, we will set $|u|_{a,q} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $P_i(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx$, $Q(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |u|^q dx$, $P_*(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} dx$, $p_- = \min\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N\}$ and $p_+ = \max\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N\}$. Also,

$$K(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(u) - P_*(u), \quad K_+(u) = \max(K(u), 0),$$

$$\gamma_i = \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p^*}, i = 1, \dots, N, \quad \widetilde{J}_0(u) = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_i P_i(u).$$

2 Preliminary results

We start our preliminary results by this elementary lemma

Lemma 1 *The functional*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u &\longmapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|u|^q dx \end{aligned}$$

is weakly continuous.

Proof. The proof is standard, it can be found in [3] □

We recall that the Nehari manifold can be characterized more explicitly by

$$\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda} := \left\{ t\varphi ; (t, \varphi) \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}) : \frac{d}{dt} J_\lambda(t\varphi) = 0 \right\}.$$

For this reason, we introduce the modified functional

$$\tilde{J}_\lambda(t, u) := J_\lambda(tu), \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since we are interested in positive solutions to Problem (1), we restrict ourselves in what follows to $t > 0$.

Lemma 2 *Let $q \in (p_+, p^*)$. Then for every $\lambda \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, there is a unique $t(u, \lambda) > 0$ such that $t(u, \lambda)u \in \mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}$. Moreover, the map $(u, \lambda) \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is of class C^1 for the strong topology on $(\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Furthermore, $t(\gamma u, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\gamma} t(u, \lambda)$, for every $\gamma > 0$, and $t(|u|, \lambda) = t(u, \lambda)$.*

The proof is based on the following proposition.

Proposition 1 *Consider the function*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ t &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^m a_i t^{\alpha_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j t^{\beta_j} \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha_i, a_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m$ and $b_j \geq 0, \beta_j > 0, b_1 > 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$, and $0 < \alpha_i < \beta_j, \forall (i, j)$. Then, there is a unique real number $t_0 > 0$ such that $\Phi(t_0) = 0, \Phi'(t_0) < 0, \Phi(t) < 0$ for $t > t_0$ and $\Phi(t) > 0$ for $0 < t < t_0$. Moreover, Φ has exactly one global maximum on $\mathbb{R}_+^$.*

Proof. It is clear that Φ has at least one local maximum. Moreover, for every $t > 0$, if $\Phi'(t) = 0$ then one has necessarily $\Phi''(t) < 0$. Indeed, if $\Phi'(t) = 0$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_i t^{\alpha_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j b_j t^{\beta_j} = 0.$$

But $\Phi''(t)$ has the same sign as

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2 a_i t^{\alpha_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j^2 b_j t^{\beta_j} < \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i a_i t^{\alpha_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j b_j t^{\beta_j} \right) \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \beta_j = 0,$$

where the first inequality is due to the fact that $\alpha_i < \beta_j$ for every i and j . This ends the proof. $\square$

Now, we return to the:

Proof of Lemma 2. For given $\lambda \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, we consider the function

$$\Phi(t) = J'_\lambda(tu) \cdot tu = \sum_{i=1}^N t^{p_i} P_i(u) - t^{p^*} P_*(u) - \lambda Q(u) t^q.$$

We apply Proposition 1 with $\alpha_i = p_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N = m$, $a_i = P_i(u) \geq 0$, $b_1 = P_*(u) > 0$, $b_2 = \lambda Q(u) \geq 0$, $\beta_1 = p^*$ and $\beta_2 = q$. There is a unique $t(u, \lambda) > 0$, such that $\Phi(t(u, \lambda)) = 0$. The uniqueness implies

$$t(\gamma u, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\gamma} t(u, \lambda) \quad \forall \gamma > 0,$$

and $t(|u|, \lambda) = t(u, \lambda)$ noticing that $P_i(|u|) = P_i(u)$, $P_*(u) = P_*(|u|)$. Since $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(t(u, \lambda)) \neq 0$, and the mappings $u \rightarrow P_i(u)$, $u \rightarrow P_*(u)$ are of class C^1 for the strong topology, the implicit function theorem shows that the mapping $(u, \lambda) \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is of class C^1 . $\square$

Next, we want to study the monotonicity of the map $\lambda \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ for a fixed u .

Lemma 3 *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the map $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is decreasing if $Q(u) > 0$. If $Q(u) = 0$, then $t(u, \lambda) = t(u, 0)$ for every $\lambda \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let us show that the continuous map $\lambda \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is injective for $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and $Q(u) > 0$. Let (λ_1, λ_2) be such that $t(u, \lambda_1) = t(u, \lambda_2)$ then

$$\phi(t(u, \lambda_1)) = \phi(t(u, \lambda_2)),$$

where

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N t^{p_i - q} P_i(u) - t^{p^* - q} P_*(u), \quad t > 0.$$

By the definition of $t(u, \lambda)$ given in Lemma 2, one has

$$\phi(t(u, \lambda_i)) = \lambda_i Q(u), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

thus, since $Q(u) > 0$, one has $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Thus the map $\lambda \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is necessarily strictly monotone, but by the definition of $t(u, 0)$, if we set $\Phi(t) := \sum_{i=1}^N t^{p_i} P_i(u) - t^{p^*} P_*(u)$, one has for every $\lambda > 0$:

$$\Phi(t(u, 0)) = 0 < \Phi(t(u, \lambda)).$$

Applying Proposition 1, we conclude that $t(u, \lambda) < t(u, 0)$. This shows that $\lambda \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is decreasing. Finally, if $Q(u) = 0$ then $t(u, \lambda) = t(u, 0)$. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 2 that for every $\lambda \geq 0$, one has more precisely

$$\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda} = \left\{ \pm t(u, \lambda)u : u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

At this stage, for every $\lambda \geq 0$, we introduce

$$\alpha(\lambda) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}} J_\lambda(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u).$$

The lemma 2 implies again that the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u &\longmapsto J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u) \end{aligned}$$

is 0-homogeneous and is even. Then we get

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}} J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbb{S} := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(u)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} = 1 \right\}.$$

Lemma 4 *Let*

$$N_0 = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : P_*(v) = \sum_i P_i(v) \right\} = \mathcal{N}_{J_0},$$

$$\tilde{N}_0 = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \sum_i P_i(v) \leq P_*(v) \right\}.$$

Then for every $\lambda \geq 0$ and $v \in \tilde{N}_0$ one has $t(v, \lambda) \leq 1$. Furthermore, we have the equivalence: $t(v, 0) = 1$ if and only if $v \in N_0$.

Proof. Let $v \in \tilde{N}_0$, from the definition of $t(v, \lambda)$, one has :

$$\sum_i^N t(v, \lambda)^{p_i} P_i(v) = t(v, \lambda)^{p^*} P_*(v) + t(\lambda, v)^q \lambda Q(v) \geq t(v, \lambda)^{p^*} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(v).$$

Thus :

$$\sum_i \left(t(v, \lambda)^{p_i - p^*} - 1 \right) P_i(v) \geq 0.$$

This inequality implies necessarily that $t(v, \lambda) \leq 1$ since $p_i - p^* < 0$ and $v \neq 0$.

By Proposition 1 applied to $\Phi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N t^{p_i} P_i(v) - t^{p^*} P_*(v)$, if $v \in N_0$ then $\Phi(t(v, 0)) = 0$ since $\Phi(1) = 0$, thus $t(v, 0) = 1$ and conversely. $\square$

Lemma 5 *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ with $Q(u) > 0$ and $\lambda(u) = \frac{K_+(u)}{Q(u)}$. Then*

$$t(u, \lambda(u)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \in N_0 \\ < 1 & \text{if } u \in \tilde{N}_0 \setminus N_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } u \notin \tilde{N}_0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $u \in N_0$, according to the above lemma 4, $t(u, 0) = 1$ and $\lambda(u) = 0$.

If $u \in \tilde{N}_0 \setminus N_0$ thus $\lambda(u) = 0$ and $t(u, 0) < 1$ (according to Lemma 4).

If $u \notin \tilde{N}_0$ then $\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{Q(u)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(u) - P_*(u) \right) > 0$, that is

$$\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(u) - P_*(u) - \lambda(u)Q(u) = 0.$$

Considering $\Phi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N t^{p_i} P_i(u) - t^{p^*} P_*(u) - \lambda(u)t^q Q(u)$. We may apply Proposition

1 to conclude that 1 is the unique zero of $\Phi : \Phi(1) = 0 = \Phi(t(u, \lambda(u)))$ by definition, thus $t(u, \lambda(u)) = 1$. $\square$

Corollary 1 (of Lemma 5) *Let $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, the set $\left\{ \lambda \geq 0 : t(u, \lambda) \leq 1 \right\}$ is an interval of the form $\left] \lambda_{\min}(u); +\infty \right[$ if $Q(u) > 0$ and is empty or equal to $\mathbb{R}_+$ otherwise.*

Proof. By Lemma 5, the set $\left\{ \lambda \geq 0 : t(u, \lambda) \leq 1 \right\}$ is not empty if $Q(u) > 0$ and the fact that $\lambda \rightarrow t(u, \lambda)$ is decreasing implies that the set is an interval, we set $\lambda_{\min}(u) = \inf \left\{ \lambda \geq 0 : t(u, \lambda) \leq 1 \right\}$. If $Q(u) = 0$ the set is empty if $t(u, 0) > 1$ and is equal to $\mathbb{R}_+$ if $t(u, 0) \leq 1$. $\square$

The link between J_λ and $\tilde{J}_0$ is carried out in the following:

Lemma 6 *For every $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, one has*

$$J_\lambda(t(v, \lambda)v) = \tilde{J}_0(t(v, \lambda)v) - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t(v, \lambda)^q Q(v).$$

Proof. We set temporarily $t = t(v, \lambda)$. Then,

$$J_\lambda(t(v, \lambda)v) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} t^{p_i} P_i(v) - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} P_*(v) - \frac{\lambda}{q} t^q Q(v). \quad (3)$$

By the definition of $t(v, \lambda)$, one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^N t^{p_i} P_i(v) = t^{p^*} P_*(v) + \lambda t^q Q(v), \quad (4)$$

we deduce from relations (3) and (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(t(v, \lambda)v) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t^{p_i} P_i(v) - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t^q Q(v) \\ &= \tilde{J}_0(t(v, \lambda)v) - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t(v, \lambda)^q Q(v). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

3 Palais-Smale sequences on the Nehari manifold

In what follows, we will write $(PS)_c$ to denote a Palais-Smale sequence of J_λ with the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 7 *Let $\lambda \geq 0$. Then we have the following assertions:*

(i) *Every minimizing sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ of (2) satisfies*

$$0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(u_n, \lambda) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(u_n, \lambda) < +\infty.$$

(ii) *There exists a nonnegative minimizing sequence of (2) denoted by $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ such that $(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n)$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for J_λ .*

Proof. (i) Let us first show that $t(u_n, \lambda)$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Suppose that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(u_n, \lambda) = +\infty.$$

On one hand, it is clear that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N t(u_n, \lambda)^{p_i} P_i(u_n) = t(u_n, \lambda)^q Q(u_n) + t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^*} P_*(u_n). \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, using the usual Sobolev embedding, there is a positive constant c_1 (which is independent of n) such that

$$Q(u_n)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c_1 P_*(u_n)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N t(u_n, \lambda)^{p_i} P_i(u_n) \leq c_2 \left(t(u_n, \lambda) P_*(u_n)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \right)^q + \left(t(u_n, \lambda) P_*(u_n)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \right)^{p^*}.$$

Then, one has necessarily

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(u_n, \lambda) P_*(u_n)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} = +\infty$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} t(u_n, \lambda)^q Q(u_n) &= o_n \left(t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^*} P_*(u_n) \right), \\ \sum_{i=1}^N t(u_n, \lambda)^{p_i} P_i(u_n) &= t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^*} P_*(u_n) (1 + o_n(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists $c_3 > 0$ independent of n , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t(u_n, \lambda)^{p_i} P_i(u_n) \\ &\geq c_3 \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^-} \|u_n\| = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This is in contradiction with the fact that $\alpha(\lambda)$ is finite.

Now, suppose that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(u_n, \lambda) = 0.$$

But, it is clear that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{J}_\lambda}{\partial t^2}(t, u_n) \Big|_{t=t(u_n, \lambda)} < 0.$$

Then, combining this fact with (5), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N p_i t(u_n, \lambda)^{p_i} P_i(u_n) < q t(u_n, \lambda)^q Q(u_n) + p^* t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^*} P_*(u_n).$$

Therefore, there exists $c_4 > 0$ independent of n , such that

$$c_4 t(u_n, \lambda)^{p_+} \|u_n\| \leq q t(u_n, \lambda)^q Q(u_n) + p^* t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^*} P_*(u_n).$$

Using the assumptions $p_+ < q$ and $p_+ < p^*$, we obtain the following contradiction

$$1 = \|u_n\| \leq \frac{1}{c_4} [q t(u_n, \lambda)^{q-p_+} Q(u_n) + p^* t(u_n, \lambda)^{p^*-p_+} P_*(u_n)] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which ends the claim (i).

(ii) For every $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, we have $\partial_t \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u, \lambda), u) = 0$ and $\partial_{tt} \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u, \lambda), u) < 0$, and $t(u, \lambda)$ is C^1 with respect to u . Let us introduce the C^1 functional $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ defined on $\mathbb{S}$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) = \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u, \lambda), u) \equiv J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u) = \mathcal{J}_\lambda(|u|).$$

Then

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}} \mathcal{J}_\lambda(u).$$

Using the Ekeland variational principle on the complete manifold $(\mathbb{S}, \|\cdot\|)$ to the functional $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$, there exists a nonnegative minimizing sequence of (2) denoted by $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ such that:

$$|\mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n)(\varphi_n)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \|\varphi_n\|, \text{ for every } \varphi_n \in T_{u_n} \mathbb{S},$$

where $T_{u_n} \mathbb{S}$ is the tangent space to $\mathbb{S}$ at the point u_n . Moreover, for every $\varphi_n \in T_{u_n} \mathbb{S}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n)(\varphi_n) &= \partial_t \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n) t'(u_n, \lambda)(\varphi_n) + \partial_u \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n), \\ &= \partial_u \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n), \end{aligned}$$

since $\partial_t \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n) \equiv 0$, where $t'(u_n, \lambda)$ denotes the derivative of $t(\cdot, \lambda)$ with respect to its first variable at the point (u_n, λ) .

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S} \\ u &\longmapsto \left(\|u\|, \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right) := (\pi_1(u), \pi_2(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\|u\| := \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i}$ and applying Hölder's inequality for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we get for every $(u, \varphi) \in (\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

$$\begin{cases} |\pi'_1(u)(\varphi)| & \leq \|\varphi\|, \\ \|\pi'_2(u)(\varphi)\| & \leq 2 \frac{\|\varphi\|}{\|u\|}. \end{cases}$$

>From (i), there is a positive constant c_5 such that

$$t(u_n, \lambda) \geq c_5, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there are $\varphi_n^1 := \pi'_1(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n)(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_n^2 := \pi'_2(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n)(\varphi) \in T_{u_n}\mathbb{S}$ such that $|\varphi_n^1| \leq \|\varphi\|$, $\|\varphi_n^2\| \leq \frac{2}{c_5}\|\varphi\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J'_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n)(\varphi) &= \partial_t \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n^1) + \partial_u \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n^2), \\ &= \partial_u \tilde{J}_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda), u_n)(\varphi_n^2), \\ &= \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(u_n)(\varphi_n^2). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J'_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n)(\varphi) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \|\varphi_n^2\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{nc_5} \|\varphi\|. \end{aligned}$$

We easily conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J'_\lambda(t(u_n, \lambda)u_n) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}^{-1,\vec{p}'}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left(\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\right)',$$

which achieves the proof. □

Let us introduce the "critical level" c^* defined by

$$c^* := \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}} J_0(t(u, 0)u) = \inf_{N_0} \tilde{J}_0(v). \tag{7}$$

Then, one has the

Proposition 2 *The critical level c^* satisfies:*

$$c^* = \inf_{N_0} \tilde{J}_0(v) = \inf_{\tilde{N}_0} \tilde{J}_0(v).$$

Proof.

We know already that $c^* = \inf_{N_0} \tilde{J}_0(v)$. For $v \in N_0$, $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(v) = P_*(v)$ so that $J_0(v) = \tilde{J}_0(v)$. Moreover, one has :

$$\inf_{\tilde{N}_0} \tilde{J}_0(v) \leq \inf_{N_0} \tilde{J}_0(v) = c^* \leq \inf_{\tilde{N}_0} \tilde{J}_0(t(v, 0)v) \leq \inf_{\tilde{N}_0} \tilde{J}_0(v), \quad (\text{since } t(v, 0) \leq 1).$$

□

4 Existence results

At this stage, we will use a recent result about compactness for quasilinear Leray-Lions type operators involving critical exponents [6]. For the reader's convenience, we will recall some notations and the main result therein.

Let Ω be an arbitrary open set of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We set

$$\mathbb{L}_{\text{loc}}^{\vec{p}}(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^N L_{\text{loc}}^{p_i}(\Omega), \quad \vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N),$$

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N L_{\text{loc}}^{p_i}(\Omega) : \nabla v \in \mathbb{L}_{\text{loc}}^{\vec{p}}(\Omega) \right\}.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the truncature function

$$S_\varepsilon(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } |\sigma| \leq \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and set $\sigma^k := S_k(\sigma)$ for every integer k .

Let $\widehat{a} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}^N$ be a nonlinear map satisfying the standard Leray-Lions conditions :

(L1) $\widehat{a}(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost every x and $\widehat{a}(\cdot, \sigma, \xi)$ is measurable for all $(\sigma, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$,

(L2) $\widehat{a}$ maps bounded sets of $W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ into bounded sets of $\prod_{i=1}^N L_{\text{loc}}^{p'_i}(\Omega)$.

For almost every $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, the map $u \mapsto \widehat{a}(x, u, \xi)$ is continuous from $W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ -weak to $\prod_{i=1}^N L_{\text{loc}}^{p'_i}(\Omega)$ -strong.

For almost all $x \in \Omega$, for all (σ, ξ) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, $\widehat{a}(x, \sigma, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq 0$,

(L3) for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for all $(\sigma, \xi_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$[\widehat{a}(x, \sigma, \xi_1) - \widehat{a}(x, \sigma, \xi_2)][\xi_1 - \xi_2] > 0, \text{ for } \xi_1 \neq \xi_2.$$

(L4) if for some $x \in \Omega$, there is a sequence $(\sigma_n, \xi_{1n}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $[\widehat{a}(x, \sigma_n, \xi_{1n}) - \widehat{a}(x, \sigma_n, \xi_2)][\xi_{1n} - \xi_2]$ and σ_n are bounded as then $|\xi_{1n}|$ remains in a bounded set of $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1 ([6])

Let (u_n) be a bounded sequence of $W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$. Then

- (i) There is a subsequence, still denoted by, (u_n) and a function $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ a.e. in Ω as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

(ii) If furthermore, we assume (L1)-(L4) and that $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\forall k \geq k_0 > 0$:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \widehat{a}(x, u_n(x), \nabla u_n(x)) \cdot \nabla(\varphi S_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k)) dx \leq o_\varepsilon(1)$$

then there exists a subsequence still denoted by (u_n) such that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \longrightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Corollary 1 (of Theorem 1) *Let $q \in (p_+, p^*)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Let (u_n) be a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for the functional J_λ , whose weak limit, up to a subsequence, is u . Then there exists a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) such that*

$$\nabla u_n(x) \longrightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the above theorem. Indeed, since $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ remains in a bounded set of $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then is bounded in $W_{loc}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, passing if necessary to a subsequence, one has $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ a.e. Setting

$$\widetilde{a}(\nabla u) = \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right|^{p_1-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right|^{p_N-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \right)$$

then, one has for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} J'_\lambda(u_n) \cdot (\varphi S_\varepsilon |u_n - u^k|) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{a}(\nabla u_n(x)) \cdot \nabla(\varphi S_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k)) dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n \varphi S_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k) dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi S_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k). \end{aligned}$$

Since (u_n) is bounded in $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there is a positive constant C such that $|u_n|_{a,q} \leq C$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*-1} |\varphi| |S_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k)| \leq \varepsilon |\varphi|_{L^{p^*}} |u_n|_{L^{p^*}}^{p^*-1} \leq C \varepsilon,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |u_n|^{q-1} |\varphi| |S_\varepsilon| \leq C \varepsilon.$$

Since $\lim_n \|J'_\lambda(u_n)\|_* = 0$, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{a}(\nabla u_n(x)) \cdot \nabla(\varphi S_\varepsilon(u_n - u^k)) dx \leq C \varepsilon.$$

□

Lemma 8 *We have the following assertions:*

- (a) $\alpha(0) > 0$
- (b) For every $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha(\lambda) \geq 0$.

Proof. (a) Let $(u_n) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be a minimizing sequence of (2) for $\lambda = 0$. Then, since $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(u_n) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(t(u_n, 0)u_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t(u_n, 0)^{p_i} P_i(u_n), \\ &\geq F(t(u_n, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t^{p_+} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1), \\ \left(\frac{1}{p_+} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) t^{p_-} & \text{if } t \in [1, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, it is known from Lemma 7 that there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $t(u_n, 0) \geq c_1 > 0$, for every integer n . Hence there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that $J_0(t(u_n, 0)u_n) \geq c_2 > 0$ for every integer n .

(b) Let $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in D^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$. From Lemma 2, the positive real number $t(u, \lambda)$ is the unique value of t realizing the global maximum of the real valued function $\varphi_u: t \mapsto J_\lambda(tu)$ defined on $[0, +\infty)$. Since $\varphi_u(0) = 0$ then $\varphi_u(t(u, \lambda)) = J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u) \geq 0$. This implies that J_λ is nonnegative on the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}$. $\square$

Lemma 9 Let (v_n) be a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{D}^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(v_n) = P_*(v_n) + o_n(1)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(v_n) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_*(v_n) = b \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(v_n, 0) = 1.$$

Proof. Actually, for every $u \in D^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, the quantity $t(u, 0)$ depends on u only by the mean of $P_i(u)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, and $P_*(u)$. Then let us write

$$\forall u \in D^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, \quad t(u, 0) := \tau(P_1(u), P_2(u), \dots, P_N(u), P_*(u)).$$

We extend the domain of definition of τ to $[0, +\infty)^N \times]0, +\infty)$ as the following: For every $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{N+1}) \in [0, +\infty)^N \times]0, +\infty)$, we define $\tau(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{N+1})$ by substituting $(P_1(u), P_2(u), \dots, P_N(u), P_*(u))$ by $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{N+1})$ in the definition of $\tau(P_1(u), P_2(u), \dots, P_N(u), P_*(u))$. Moreover, since $t(u, 0) = 1$ for every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{J_0}$, it follows that for every $u \in D^{1, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, if $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(u) = P_*(u)$ then $\tau(P_1(u), P_2(u), \dots, P_N(u), P_*(u)) =$

1. Therefore, for every $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{N+1}) \in [0, +\infty)^N \times]0, +\infty)$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i = \beta_{N+1} \implies \tau(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{N+1}) = 1.$$

On the other hand, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the sequences $(P_i(v_n))_n$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. If $\beta_i \geq 0$ is an arbitrary adherence value of $(P_i(v_n))_n$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_*(v_n) = b \neq 0,$$

and consequently $\tau(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N, b) = 1$. Since $t(u, 0)$ depends continuously on $(P_1(u), \dots, P_N(u), P_*(u))$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(v_n, 0) = \tau(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N, b) = 1$, which ends the proof. $\square$

Lemma 10 *Let $q \in (p_+, p^*)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Let (u_n) be a $(PS)_c$ for the functional J_λ such that $c < c^*$, then (u_n) is relatively compact.*

Proof. Let (u_n) be a $(PS)_c$ for the functional J_λ such that $c < c^*$, with $\lambda \geq 0$. We can show easily that (u_n) is bounded. According to Corollary 1 of Theorem 1 we can assume then that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \nabla u_n(x) &\rightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

We set $v_n := u_n - u$, then using the Brézis-Lieb Lemma, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i(v_n) &= P_*(v_n) + o_n(1), \\ J_0(v_n) &= c - J_\lambda(u) + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let b be the common limit to $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i(v_n)$ and $P_*(v_n)$ as n goes to infinity. Suppose that $b \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 9, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t(v_n, 0) = 1$ and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_0(t(v_n, 0)v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_0(v_n) = c - J_\lambda(u).$$

On the other hand,

$$J_0(t(v_n, 0)v_n) \geq \inf_{w \in \mathcal{N}_{J_0}} J_0(w).$$

Therefore, $c - J_\lambda(u) \geq c^*$, which means that $c \geq c^*$ since $u \in \mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}$ and it is known that J_λ is nonnegative on $\mathcal{N}_{J_\lambda}$. This achieves the proof. $\square$

Let $M^* = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : Q(v) > 0 \text{ and } \tilde{J}_0(v) = c^* \right\}$. Since $c^* = \alpha(0) > 0$, thus M^* is not empty. We define :

$$\lambda^* = \inf \left\{ \lambda_{\min}(u) : u \in M^* \right\}$$

we have the following fundamental proposition :

Proposition 3 *If $\lambda > \lambda^* \geq 0$ then $\alpha(\lambda) < c^*$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda > \lambda^*$, then there exists $u \in M^*$ such that $\lambda > \lambda_{\min}(u) > \lambda^*$, thus $t(u, \lambda) \leq 1$. From Lemma 6, one has

$$J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u) = \tilde{J}_0(t(u, \lambda)u) - \lambda t^q(u, \lambda) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) Q(u). \quad (8)$$

Since $\tilde{J}_0(t(u, \lambda)u) \leq \tilde{J}_0(u) = c^*$, the above relation (8) becomes

$$\alpha(\lambda) \leq J_\lambda(t(u, \lambda)u) \leq c^* - \lambda t^q(u, \lambda) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) Q(u) < c^*.$$

□

At this stage, we state and show our main result:

Theorem 2 *For every $\lambda > \lambda^*$, there exists at least one nonnegative nontrivial solution to (1).*

Proof. >From Lemma 7 there is a sequence $U_n = t(u_n, \lambda)u_n \geq 0$ bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and which is a Palais sequence for J_λ at the level $\alpha(\lambda)$, i.e.

$$J_\lambda(U_n) \rightarrow \alpha(\lambda), \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|U_n\| > 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and

$$J'_\lambda(U_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } (\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N))' \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Passing, if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume that $U_n \rightharpoonup U$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -weak, a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$. If $\lambda > \lambda^*$ then $\alpha(\lambda) < c^*$, thus applying Lemma 10, one deduces that $U_n \rightarrow U$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -strong and $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -strong. Thus

$$\{ J_\lambda(U) = \alpha(\lambda) \geq 0 : U \in \mathcal{D}_+^{1,\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, J'_\lambda(U) = 0, \|U\| = \lim_n \|U_n\| > 0.$$

□

Remark. If $u \in M^* \cap \tilde{N}_0$ then $\lambda_{\min}(u) = 0$ thus $\lambda^* = 0$.

References

- [1] Aubin, T. Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **9** 269–296 (1976)
- [2] Aubin, T. Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geometry* **11** 573–598 (1976)
- [3] Ben-Naoum, A. K., Troestler, C., Willem, M. Extrema problems with critical Sobolev exponents on unbounded domains. *Nonlinear Anal.* **26** 823–833 (1996)
- [4] Brézis, H., Nirenberg, L. Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations Involving Critical Sobolev Exponents. *Comm. Pure App. Math.* **36** 437–477 (1983)

- [5] El Hamidi, A. Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **3** 253–265 (2004)
- [6] El Hamidi, A., Rakotoson, J. M. Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponents. *Diff. Int. Equ.* **18** 1201–1220 (2005)
- [7] El Hamidi, A., Rakotoson, J. M. Extremal functions for the anisotropic Sobolev inequalities. (*Submitted*).
- [8] El Hamidi, A., Rakotoson, J. M. Existence result to anisotropic elliptic equations involving critical exponents. (*Submitted*).
- [9] Fragala, I., Gazzola, F., Kawohl, B. Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equation. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré AN* **21** 715–734 (2004)
- [10] Nikol'skii, S. M. On imbedding, continuation and approximation theorems for differentiable functions of several variables. *Russian Math. Surv.* **16** 55–104 (1961)
- [11] Rakosnik, J. Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces I. *Beiträge zur Analysis* **13** 55–68 (1979)
- [12] Rakosnik, J. Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces II. *Beiträge zur Analysis* **15** 127–140 (1981)
- [13] Talenti, G. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **110** 353–372 (1976)
- [14] Troisi, M. Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi. *Ricerche Mat.* **18** 3–24 (1969)
- [15] Ven'-tuan, L. On embedding theorems for spaces of functions with partial derivatives of various degrees of summability. *Vestnik Leningrad Univ.* **16** 23–37 (1961)
- [16] Willem, M. Minimax Theorems. *Birkhäuser*, (1996)

Liste des prépublications

- 99-1 Monique Jeanblanc et Nicolas Privault. A complete market model with Poisson and Brownian components. A paraître dans *Proceedings of the Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications*, Ascona, 1999.
- 99-2 Laurence Cherfilis et Alain Miranville. Generalized Cahn-Hilliard equations with a logarithmic free energy. A paraître dans *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias*.
- 99-3 Jean-Jacques Prat et Nicolas Privault. Explicit stochastic analysis of Brownian motion and point measures on Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **167** (1999) 201-242.
- 99-4 Changgui Zhang. Sur la fonction q -Gamma de Jackson. A paraître dans *Aequationes Math.*
- 99-5 Nicolas Privault. A characterization of grand canonical Gibbs measures by duality. A paraître dans *Potential Analysis*.
- 99-6 Guy Wallet. La variété des équations surstables. A paraître dans *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*.
- 99-7 Nicolas Privault et Jiang-Lun Wu. Poisson stochastic integration in Hilbert spaces. *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, **6** (1999) 41-61.
- 99-8 Augustin Fruchard et Reinhard Schäfke. Sursabilité et résonance.
- 99-9 Nicolas Privault. Connections and curvature in the Riemannian geometry of configuration spaces. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **330** (2000) 899-904.
- 99-10 Fabienne Marotte et Changgui Zhang. Multisommabilité des séries entières solutions formelles d'une équation aux q -différences linéaire analytique. A paraître dans *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 2000.
- 99-11 Knut Aase, Bernt Øksendal, Nicolas Privault et Jan Ubøe. White noise generalizations of the Clark-Hausmann-Ocone theorem with application to mathematical finance. *Finance and Stochastics*, **4** (2000) 465-496.
- 00-01 Eric Benoît. Canards en un point pseudo-singulier nœud. A paraître dans *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*.
- 00-02 Nicolas Privault. Hypothesis testing and Skorokhod stochastic integration. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **37** (2000) 560-574.
- 00-03 Changgui Zhang. La fonction θ de Jacobi et la sommabilité des séries entières q -Gevrey, I. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* **331** (2000) 31-34.
- 00-04 Guy Wallet. Déformation topologique par changement d'échelle.
- 00-05 Nicolas Privault. Quantum stochastic calculus for the uniform measure and Boolean convolution. A paraître dans *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXV*.
- 00-06 Changgui Zhang. Sur les fonctions q -Bessel de Jackson.
- 00-07 Laure Coutin, David Nualart et Ciprian A. Tudor. Tanaka formula for the fractional Brownian motion. A paraître dans *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*.
- 00-08 Nicolas Privault. On logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for normal martingales. *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse* **9** (2000) 509-518.
- 01-01 Emanuelle Augeraud-Veron et Laurent Augier. Stabilizing endogenous fluctuations by fiscal policies; Global analysis on piecewise continuous dynamical systems. A paraître dans *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*
- 01-02 Delphine Boucher. About the polynomial solutions of homogeneous linear differential equations depending on parameters. A paraître dans *Proceedings of the 1999 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation: ISSAC 99, Sam Dooley Ed., ACM, New York 1999*.
- 01-03 Nicolas Privault. Quasi-invariance for Lévy processes under anticipating shifts.
- 01-04 Nicolas Privault. Distribution-valued iterated gradient and chaotic decompositions of Poisson jump times functionals.
- 01-05 Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Deviation inequalities: an approach via covariance representations.
- 01-06 Abdallah El Hamidi. Remarques sur les sentinelles pour les systèmes distribués

- 02-01 Eric Benoît, Abdallah El Hamidi et Augustin Fruchard. On combined asymptotic expansions in singular perturbation.
- 02-02 Rachid Bebbouchi et Eric Benoît. Equations différentielles et familles bien nées de courbes planes.
- 02-03 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Nonexistence of solutions to systems of higher-order semilinear inequalities in cone-like domains.
- 02-04 Hassan Lakhel, Youssef Ouknine, et Ciprian A. Tudor. Besov regularity for the indefinite Skorohod integral with respect to the fractional Brownian motion: the singular case.
- 02-05 Nicolas Privault et Jean-Claude Zambrini. Markovian bridges and reversible diffusions with jumps.
- 02-06 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Existence and Nonexistence Results for Reaction-Diffusion Equations in Product of Cones.
- 02-07 Guy Wallet. Nonstandard generic points.
- 02-08 Gilles Bailly-Maitre. On the monodromy representation of polynomials.
- 02-09 Abdallah El Hamidi. Necessary conditions for local and global solvability of nondiagonal degenerate systems.
- 02-10 Abdallah El Hamidi et Amira Obeid. Systems of Semilinear higher order evolution inequalities on the Heisenberg group.
- 03-01 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Non existence de solutions d'inéquations semilinéaires dans des domaines coniques.
- 03-02 Eric Benoît et Marie-Joëlle Rochet. A continuous model of biomass size spectra governed by predation and the effects of fishing on them.
- 03-03 Catherine Stenger. On a conjecture of Wolfgang Wasow concerning the nature of turning points.
- 03-04 Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Surface measures and related functional inequalities on configuration spaces.
- 03-05 Abdallah El Hamidi et Mokhtar Kirane. Nonexistence results of solutions to systems of semilinear differential inequalities on the Heisenberg group.
- 03-06 Uwe Franz, Nicolas Privault et René Schott. Non-Gaussian Malliavin calculus on real Lie algebras.
- 04-01 Abdallah El Hamidi. Multiple solutions to a nonlinear elliptic equation involving Paneitz type operators.
- 04-02 Mohamed Amara, Amira Obeid et Guy Vallet. Relaxed formulation and existence result of the degenerated elliptic small disturbance model.
- 04-03 Hippolyte d'Albis et Emmanuelle Augeraud-Veron. Competitive Growth in a Life-cycle Model: Existence and Dynamics
- 04-04 Sadjia Aït-Mokhtar. Third order differential equations with fixed critical points.
- 04-05 Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Asymptotic Behavior for a Reaction Diffusion System with Unbounded Coefficients.
- 04-06 Mokhtar Kirane, Eric Nabana et Stanislav I. Pohozaev. Nonexistence of Global Solutions to an Elliptic Equation with a Dynamical Boundary Condition.
- 04-07 Khaled M. Furati, Nasser-eddine Tatar and Mokhtar Kirane. Existence and asymptotic behavior for a convection Problem.
- 04-08 José Alfredo López-Mimbela et Nicolas Privault. Blow-up and stability of semilinear PDE's with gamma generator.
- 04-09 Abdallah El Hamidi. Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equation.
- 04-10 Sadjia Aït-Mokhtar. A singularly perturbed Riccati equation.
- 04-11 Mohamed Amara, Amira Obeid et Guy Vallet. Weighted Sobolev spaces for a degenerated nonlinear elliptic equation.
- 04-12 Abdallah El Hamidi. Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions.
- 04-13 Eric Edo et Jean-Philippe Furter. Some families of polynomial automorphisms.

- 04-14 Laurence Cherfils et Yavdat Il'yasov. On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with p & q - Laplacian.
- 04-15 Jean-Christophe Breton et Youri Davydov. Local limit theorem for supremum of an empirical processes for i.i.d. random variables.
- 04-16 Jean-Christophe Breton, Christian Houdré et Nicolas Privault. Dimension free and infinite variance tail estimates on Poisson space.
- 04-17 Abdallah El Hamidi et Gennady G. Laptev. Existence and nonexistence results for higher-order semilinear evolution inequalities with critical potential.
- 05-01 Mokhtar Kirane et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Nonexistence of Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping.
- 05-02 Mokhtar Kirane et Yamina Laskri. Nonexistence of Global Solutions to a Hyperbolic Equation with a Time Fractional Damping.
- 05-03 Mokhtar Kirane, Yamina Laskri et Nasser-eddine Tatar. Critical Exponents of Fujita Type for Certain Evolution Equations and Systems with Spatio-Temporal Fractional Derivatives.
- 05-04 Abdallah El Hamidi et Jean-Michel Rakotoson. Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponents
- 05-05 Claudianor O. Alves et Abdallah El Hamidi. Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of quasilinear problems.
- 05-06 Khalid Adriouch et Abdallah El Hamidi. The Nehari manifold for systems of nonlinear elliptic equations.
- 05-07 Eric Benoît. Equation fonctionnelle: Transport et convolution.
- 05-08 Jean-Philippe Furter et Stefan Maubach. Locally Finite Polynomial Endomorphisms and an extension of the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.
- 05-09 Thomas Forget. Solutions canards en des points tournants dégénérés.
- 05-10 José Alfredo López-Mimbela et Nicolas Privault. Critical Exponents for Semilinear PDEs with Bounded Potentials.
- 06-01 Aldéric Joulin. On maximal inequalities for stable stochastic integrals.
- 06-02 Aldéric Joulin. On local Poisson-type deviation inequalities for curved continuous time Markov chains, with applications to birth-death processes.
- 06-03 Abdallah El Hamidi et Jean-Michel Rakotoson. On a perturbed anisotropic equation. A paraître dans *Ricerche di Matematica*.